







Steve Masiello, McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP Rich Meene, PwC









- Introduction
- Regulatory Requirements
- Recent Developments: Statute of Limitations Issues
- Best Practices for Incurred Cost Documentation, Collection, and Submission
- Best Practices for Facilitating the Audit Process
- Audit Scrutiny
 - Hot Topics
 - Managing Government Auditor Requests for Specific Types of Information
- Appendix: Areas of Special Government Audit Focus









- Incurred Cost Proposals
 - What they are
 - When they are submitted
 - ICPs matter because they
 - Determine final payments to the contractor
 - May delay contract closeout and affect cash flow timing for final bills
 - Will face substantial audit scrutiny
 - May result in penalties for inclusion of expressly unallowable costs









Regulatory Requirements

- FAR § 52.216-7, "Allowable Cost and Payment"
 - Permits billings of indirect costs based on provisional rates
 - Requires submission of final indirect cost pools and allocation bases in accordance with FAR Subpart 42.7
- Prior to 6/30/2011, only required:
 - "Adequate final indirect cost rate proposal"
 - "Support . . . with adequate supporting data"
- After 6/30/2011:
 - > Summary of all claimed indirect expense rates, including pool, base, and calculated indirect rate.
 - > General and Administrative expenses
 - Overhead expenses
 - Occupancy expenses
 - Claimed allocation bases, by element of cost, used to distribute indirect costs
 - Facilities capital cost of money factors computation
 - Reconciliation of books of account and claimed direct costs by major cost element
 - Schedule of direct costs by contract and subcontract

- Schedule of cumulative direct and indirect costs claimed and billed by contract and subcontract.
- > Subcontract information
- > Summary of each time-and-materials and labor-hour contract
- > Reconciliation of total payroll
- Listing of decisions/agreements/approvals and description of accounting/organizational changes
- Certificate of final indirect costs
- Contract closing information for contracts physically completed in this fiscal year

- FAR Subpart 42.7
 - Sets forth procedures for audit of ICP and negotiation of final rates
 - Provides penalties for inclusion of expressly unallowable cost









- FAR § 52.215-2, "Audits and Records—Negotiations"
 - Contractors must maintain records "sufficient to reflect properly all costs claimed to have been incurred or anticipated to be incurred directly or indirectly in performance of this contract"
 - Records must be available for audit until 3 years after final payment under the contract or for any shorter period specified in Subpart 4.7
- FAR Subpart 4.7:
 - Provides additional record retention requirements
 - Requirements measured from fiscal year to which records relate
- BearingPoint, Inc., ASBCA No. 55354, 09-2 BCA ¶ 34,289
 - Contractor records need not be maintained in "nice neat little files"
 - The FAR does not prescribe a particular form for records or other evidence supporting costs









Regulatory Requirements

- DCAA's Interpretation of Regulatory Requirements
 - ICP checklist
 - Generally tracks the new FAR requirements
 - Provides detail concerning DCAA's expectations for categories of information identified in FAR § 52.216-7
 - DCAA's Guide for Determining Adequacy of Contractor Incurred Cost Proposals
 - ICE (Incurred Cost Electronically) Models
 - Spreadsheets designed by DCAA to provide contractors with a standard ICP format
 - There is no legal requirement to use ICE models
 - Failure to use ICE model may result in deemed inadequacy
 - When not using ICE model, contractors must demonstrate that format is responsive and complete









Recent Developments: Statute of Limitations Issues

Relevant today because of significant backlog of unaudited ICPs.

- CO must assert claim through final decision within six years of claim accrual (41 U.S.C. § 7103)
- Claim accrual is the date when the government knew or should have known of a claim (FAR § 33.201)
- Government knowledge (actual or <u>constructive</u>)
 - Contracting officer knowledge not required (Raytheon Missile Sys., ASBCA No. 58011, 2013 WL 685219 (Jan. 28, 2013))
 - DCAA knowledge is government knowledge (id.)
 - Submission of ICP to DCAA (<u>not DCAA audit</u>) triggers CDA statute of limitations (*Raytheon Co.*, ASBCA No. 57576, 13-1 BCA ¶ 25,209)









- Use Advanced Agreements where possible
- Document and archive the source of your foundational G/L data
- Development and Submission of ICPs
 - Start with a clean model
 - Use the ICP adequacy checklist as a guide
 - Follow your disclosed or established cost accounting practices
 - Integrate your model schedules through linking
 - Strategically plan your analysis to scrub unallowable costs and use auditable methods of cost segregation
 - Use explanatory notes
 - Create a support binder
 - Establish your use of electronic documents carefully
 - Keep an <u>exact</u> copy of what is provided to the government
 - Protect your proprietary data









During an Audit

- If an entrance conference is not scheduled, request one prior to providing data
- Establish a single point of contact to ensure consistency in communication with the auditors
- Establish regular meetings with auditors to keep them apprised of your progress and discuss issues
- Ensure that all requests are received in writing and appropriately justified
- Establish the timeline needed to satisfy requests
- Keep track of everything provided to the government in support of an audit

After an Audit

- If an exit conference is not scheduled, request one
- Determine whether or not to reply to auditor findings communicated in the exit conference
- Request a copy of the audit report from your CO/ACO









Audit Scrutiny: Hot Topics

- Government Demands for Resubmission of ICPs
 - Not a new phenomena
 - Increasing in frequency
 - Reflect concerns ranging from actual inadequacies to desires for additional information
 - Recently DCAA has demanded resubmissions because older, unaudited ICPs are not formatted in most recent ICE model
 - Of great importance because of SOL issues
 - Key question: When will SOL begin to run?
 - Government may argue it only knew of potential claims upon resubmission
 - If resubmission is necessary, specify areas that will remain unchanged
 - If supplementing an existing ICP, state that underlying ICP is auditable and adequate









Audit Scrutiny: Hot Topics

- Availability and Type of Documentation
 - Government backlog in reviewing ICPs is causing delayed requests for additional documentation
 - Requirements (discussed above)
 - FAR § 31.201-2(d) requires maintenance of "adequate" support and permits disallowance
 - FAR § 52.215-2 requires records be made available for audit until 3 years after final payment or for shorter period specified in FAR Subpart 4.7
 - DCAA position appears to be that all cost documentation must remain available for three years after contract closeout
 - Closeout will usually not occur until after indirect rate finalization
 - Having a compliant and functional document retention system is essential
 - Compliance with FAR § 52.215-2 and FAR Subpart 4.7 document unavailability impact CDA SOL and cost allowability considerations









Audit Scrutiny: Hot Topics

- Expressly Unallowable Costs
 - Contractors may be subject to penalties for including expressly unallowable costs in ICPs (see FAR § 42.709)
 - Expressly unallowable costs are "specifically named and stated to be unallowable" under the "express provisions of an applicable law, regulation, or contract" (48 C.F.R. § 9904.405-30)
 - "[T]he Government must show that it was unreasonable under all the circumstances for a person in the contractor's position to conclude that the costs were allowable." Fiber Materials Inc., ASBCA No. 53616, 07-1 BCA ¶ 33,563
 - DCAA, however, appears to view expressly unallowable costs more broadly
 - Includes costs allegedly not fully documented/supported









Audit Scrutiny: Managing Auditor Requests

- Auditor Requests for Attorney-Client Privileged Materials
 - Arises frequently in the context of legal costs
 - DCAA expects to see work product or invoices to confirm that work was actually performed
 - Disclosure to DCAA may waive privilege
 - DCAA MRD 12-PPS-018(R) / DCAAM § 1-504
 - Elevates assertions of privilege to "high level" company executives and regional DCAA office
 - Suggests that if unprivileged information is not available, contractor must disclose information or face disallowance
 - Common alternatives
 - Provide redacted invoices
 - Provide summary of billing
 - Provide invoices (disfavored)









Audit Scrutiny: Managing Auditor Requests

- Government Requests for Internal Audits
 - Government's ability to access contractor records is defined by statute (see, e.g., 10 USC §§ 2306a, 2313)
 - This ability does <u>not</u> extend to subjective contractor assessments, such as internal audits (see, e.g., U.S. v. Newport News Shipbldg. & Dry Dock Co., 837 F.2d 162 (4th Cir. 1988))
 - In 2011, the GAO issued a report criticizing DCAA for not seeking access to internal audits (GAO-12-88)
 - Resulted in a sharp increase in DCAA requests
 - DCAA issued guidance concerning access to internal audits (12-PPS-19(R))
 - 2013 NDAA (P.L. 112-239)
 - Broad DCAA access provisions considered (S. 3254 § 843)
 - Narrow access provisions adopted (P.L. 112-239 § 832)
 - Focus on internal audits relied on to demonstrate business system adequacy
 - Government's statutory authority to access internal audit material has not changed



Steven M. Masiello

McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP smasiello@mckennalong.com

(303) 634-4355

Richard Meene

PwC

richard.j.meene@us.pwc.com

(646) 471-7346



Appendix









Audit Scrutiny: Areas of Special Focus

Travel costs

- Includes travel costs of employees <u>and consultants/subcontractors</u>
 - Contractors must be able to obtain from consultants sufficient documentation if travel costs are reimbursed
- Expectation is extensive documentation
 - Documentation that airfare is lowest available
 - Screening and removal of unallowable costs
 - Justification for premium airfare

Restructuring costs

- Typically unallowable (FAR § 31.205-27)
- Auditors closely reviews costs that may be related to restructuring, such as legal costs
- If a restructuring has occurred, prepare to explain nature of legal costs and why certain costs were found to be allowable









Audit Scrutiny: Areas of Special Focus

- Consultant Costs / Legal Fees
 - FAR § 31.205-33: fees allowable only when supported by evidence of the nature and scope of the service furnished, including:
 - Details of all agreements, including rates and work requirements
 - Invoices submitted by consultants, including time and nature of services
 - Consultants' work product and related documents
 - DCAAM on obtaining consultant work product (DCAAM § 7-2105.2):
 - Purpose is to determine the nature and scope of the work performed
 - "The auditor should not insist on a work product if other evidence provided is sufficient to determine the nature and scope of the actual work performed"
 - DCAA position is that costs are unallowable if:
 - No written agreement exists
 - Work product does not exist or is not provided









Audit Scrutiny: Areas of Special Focus

Subcontract Costs

- Documentation
 - Auditors often take the position that if an action is not documented, it did not occur
 - Most common documentation issues involve
 - Commercial item determinations
 - Cost/price analysis and reasonableness determinations
 - Sole source determinations and related market research

T&M Issues

- Subcontractors must supply personnel that meet the prime contract's qualification requirements
- Materials costs and ODCs must be documented and reasonable