

## Evidence Table Appendix A

Author/ Year	Study Design	Demographics	Intervention, outcome measures; instruments	Results	Comments
Gorecka, 1997	Randomized control trial, pts referred to 9 regional LTOT centers in Poland, no blinding Inclusion: FEV1/FVC ratio <70% predicted PaO <sub>2</sub> measures 56-65 mmHg  Exclusion: Diseases of other organ systems that may impact survival  Avg observation time: 40.9 mos	n= 135 control group= 67 LTOT group= 68 age 40-80 mean age: 61.2 76% male  *participants in the control group cont to receive usual COPD care including bronchodilators, diuretics, steroids, antibiotics at the discretion of the physician  *participants in the LTOT group received oxygen to maintain PaO <sub>2</sub> above 65mmHg prescribed for at least 17hours per day	Mortality	70 patients died during the observation period, 32 controls and 38 in the LTOT group; the majority due to a progression of COPD  Cumulative survival rate: Yr 1: 88% Yr 2: 77% Yr 3: 66%  Cox regression analysis: No difference in survival between control and LTOT groups  Survivors were younger, had better lung function, and higher BMI	
Hjalmarsen, 1999	Retrospective study Inclusion criteria: Group I PaO <sub>2</sub> ≤ 7.3 kPa (55 mmHg) or Group II PaO <sub>2</sub> up to 8.0 kPa ( 60 mmHg) if coexisting polycythemia or cor pulmonale  Oxygen use- at least 15h per day	n =124 Group I; n=76 Group II; n= 48  mean age: 68	Mortality Subgroup analysis based on lung function, gender, hospitalization	Group I survival 2 Yr: 73% 5 Yr: 50%  Group II survival 2 Yr: 78% 5 Yr: 40%  Male survival: 2 Yr: 56% 5 Yr: 30%  Female survival: 2 Yr: 83% 5 Yr: 60%  Group II: PaCO <sub>2</sub> and FVC showed significant impact	Patients with PaO <sub>2</sub> of 60mmHg only comprised 39% of the population being studied

				on survival Lower survival in patients treated in the general hospital setting <b>No statistically significant survival benefit when comparing LTOT users Group I and II</b>	
Sliwinski, 1992	<p>Prospective cohort study</p> <p>Inclusion: consecutive referrals for assessment of eligibility for LTOT PaO<sub>2</sub> ≤ 55mmHg or PaO<sub>2</sub> 56-65 mmHg if accompanied by radiologic signs of pulmonary HTN, signs of RVH, or elevated hematocrit Pts underwent a 4wk probationary period to ensure they cont. to meet inclusion criteria</p> <p>Exclusion: any condition that may influence survival such as HTN, ischemic heart disease, left heart failure, cirrhosis, renal failure, diabetes, or malignancy</p> <p>Patients were divided into Responders and Nonresponders, based on changes in pulmonary artery pressure in response to LTOT</p> <p>Treatment period: 2 yrs or until death</p>	<p>n=46</p> <p>Responders n=7</p> <p>Non responders n=39</p> <p>83% male</p>	<p>Acute effect of oxygen on pulmonary hemodynamics</p> <p>Mortality</p>	<p>Avg oxygen use 14.6h/day</p> <p>2 Yr Survival Rate Responders : 69% Non-Responders: 57%</p> <p>Hospital Admissions: Responders: 0.8 Non-Responders: 1.4</p>	<p>Small number of participants in the responder group; no information regarding the specific number of pts with PaO<sub>2</sub> between 56-65 mmHg</p>
Sandek, 2001	<p>Prospective cohort study</p> <p>Inclusion: irreversible airflow</p>	<p>N=14</p> <p>Male 79%</p> <p>Mean age: 69</p>	<p>Changes in pulmonary physiology</p>	<p>No significant changes to pulmonary physiology noted</p>	<p>Very small sample size</p>

	obstruction 2 PaO2 measures < 7.3 kPa or 7.3- 7.9kPa with chronic right heart failure  Oxygen prescription greater than 15h per day for 6 months			with LTOT use.	
--	--	--	--	----------------	--