Appendix C
CMS Evidence Table for Thermal Intradiscal Procedures

Author/ Year		Demographics	outcome measures Results		sults
	Study Design		outcome measure time endpoint	Intervention group	Control group
	Study, inclusion/exclusion	N age			
Pauza 2004	RCT Multiple inclusion/exclusion, includes only less than 20% disc height	32 IDET 24 sham Mean age 40	VAS SF-36 Bodily Pain SF-36 Physical - Functioning ODI	$6.6 \text{ (SD 1.4)} \rightarrow 4.2 \text{ (SD 2.6)}$ $36 \text{ (SD 12)} \rightarrow 53 \text{ (SD 19)}$ $56 \text{ (SD 24)} \rightarrow 71 \text{ (SD 22)}$ $31 \text{ (SD 10)} \rightarrow 20 \text{ (SD 12)}$	$6.5 \text{ (SD 1.9)} \rightarrow 5.4 \text{ (SD 2.7)}$ $35 \text{ (SD 12)} \rightarrow 44 \text{ (SD 20)}$ $49 \text{ (SD 21)} \rightarrow 60 \text{ (SD 24)}$ $33 \text{ (SD 11)} \rightarrow 28 \text{ (SD 15)}$
Freeman	narrowing on x-ray, No workers comp RCT	36 IDET	6 months LBOS	$39.5 \text{ (SD 5.2)} \rightarrow 38.3 \text{ (SD}$	$36.7 (SD 3.0) \rightarrow 37.4 (SD$
2005	Multiple inclusion/exclusion, includes only less than 50% disc height narrowing	19 sham Mean age 40	SF-36 Bodily Pain SF-36 Physical - Functioning	3.6) 33.1 (SD 16.0) \rightarrow 38.3 (SD 21.4) 41.9 (SD 23.0) \rightarrow 44.7 (SD	1.6) 24.4 (SD 13.5) \rightarrow 31.5 (SD 15.2) 35.0 (SD 15.3) \rightarrow 36.6 (SD
			ODI 6 months	$ \begin{array}{c} 24.2) \\ 41.4 \text{ (SD } 14.8) \rightarrow 39.8 \text{ (SD } \\ 16.3) \end{array} $	20.1) $40.7 \text{ (SD 11.8)} \rightarrow 41.6 \text{ (SD 11.2)}$

Author/			outcome measures	Results	
Year	Study Design	Demographics	autaama maaguu	Intervention group	Control group
			outcome measure time endpoint		
Barendse	RCT	15 PIRFT	At least VAS 2	One patient judged as	Two patients judged as
2001	Diagnostic block and	13 sham	point reduction and	success	success
	positive		50% pain reduction		
	discography/multiple exclusion listed		on global perceived effect		
	exercision fisted	Mean age	Circui		
		45 years sham	8 weeks		
		group, 41			
		PIRFT group			
Ercelen	Randomized	20 PIRFT,	VAS and ODI	No statistical difference	No statistical difference
2003	Comparative Trial	80°C for 120		between the 6 mo and	between the 6 mo and
		seconds		pretreatment VAS and ODI	pretreatment VAS and ODI
	MRI changes and				
	positive	19 PIRFT,			
	discography/multiple	80°C for 360	6 months		
	exclusion listed	seconds			

Author/ Year	Study Design	Demographics	outcome measures	Results	
			outcome measure time endpoint	Intervention group	Control group
Bogduk 2002	Positive discography and radial fissure/ Several exclusion including less than 80% of expected disc height (greater than 20% narrowing)	36 IDET 17 other treatments Median age for IDET was 39 years, 45 years for the comparison group	VAS, return to work, opioids use Success defined as at least 50% reduction in pain, at work, no longer using opioids (small quantities of codeine acceptable) 24 months	19 of 35 patients successful by authors definition	1 patient successful, though this patient attributed the resolution of back pain to a hysterectomy
Kapural 2005	Prospective matched control Several inclusion/ excluded those with less than 50% of expected disc height And workers comp	21 PIRFT 28 IDET Mean age 42	VAS Mean PDI* difference (IDET – PIRFT) at one year One year *Pain Disability Index	IDET: 7.4 +/- 1.9 → 1.4 +/- 1.9 -21.8	PIRFT: 6.6 +/- 2.0 → 4.4 +/- 2.4