

<b>CMS Manual System</b>	<b>Department of Health &amp; Human Services (DHHS)</b>
<b>Pub 100-08 Medicare Program Integrity</b>	<b>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services (CMS)</b>
<b>Transmittal 751</b>	<b>Date: October 20, 2017</b>
	<b>Change Request 10322</b>

**SUBJECT: Clarifying Signature Requirements**

**I. SUMMARY OF CHANGES:** The purpose of this Change Request (CR) is to amend chapter 3, section 3.3.2.4, of Pub.100-08 related to signature requirements to clarify that the responsible party has accepted responsibility for the care of the beneficiary and authenticated related documentation. Claim denials shall be limited to those instances in which signatures that are required by Medicare policies are flawed or missing. This CR also clarifies the contractors responsible for fraud referrals, and makes reference to the new Unified Program Integrity Contractor (UPIC) throughout this section.

**EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 2017**

*\*Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE: November 20, 2017**

*Disclaimer for manual changes only: The revision date and transmittal number apply only to red italicized material. Any other material was previously published and remains unchanged. However, if this revision contains a table of contents, you will receive the new/revised information only, and not the entire table of contents.*

**II. CHANGES IN MANUAL INSTRUCTIONS:** (N/A if manual is not updated)

R=REVISED, N=NEW, D=DELETED-*Only One Per Row.*

<b>R/N/D</b>	<b>CHAPTER / SECTION / SUBSECTION / TITLE</b>
R	3/3.3/3.3.2/3.3.2.4/Signature Requirements

**III. FUNDING:**

**For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):**

The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

**IV. ATTACHMENTS:**

**Business Requirements  
Manual Instruction**

# Attachment - Business Requirements

Pub. 100-08	Transmittal: 751	Date: October 20, 2017	Change Request: 10322
-------------	------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

**SUBJECT: Clarifying Signature Requirements**

**EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 2017**

*\*Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

**IMPLEMENTATION DATE: November 20, 2017**

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION

**A. Background:** This CR clarifies the persons responsible for authenticating documentation per Medicare policies. This CR also clarifies those contractors responsible for identifying patterns of missing/illegible signatures appropriate for Zone Program Integrity Contractor (ZPIC) / UPIC referral.

**B. Policy:** There are no regulatory, legislative, or statutory requirements related to this CR.

## II. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS TABLE

*"Shall" denotes a mandatory requirement, and "should" denotes an optional requirement.*

Number	Requirement	Responsibility								
		A/B MAC			DME MAC	Shared-System Maintainers				Other
		A	B	HHH		FISS	MCS	VMS	CFW	
10322.1	The contractor shall, for medical review purposes, ensure that services provided/ordered/certified be authenticated by the persons responsible for the care of the beneficiary in accordance with Medicare's policies.	X	X	X	X					CERT, RACs, SMRC, ZPICs
10322.1.1	The contractor shall refer to Pub 100-08, Chapter 3, Section 3.1 for instructions on those policies that impact payment for medical review purposes.	X	X	X	X					CERT, RACs, SMRC, ZPICs
10322.2	The contractor shall, if they identify a pattern of missing/illegible signatures, refer the issue to the appropriate ZPIC/UPIC for further development.	X	X	X	X					CERT, RACs, SMRC

## III. PROVIDER EDUCATION TABLE

Number	Requirement	Responsibility				
		A/B MAC			DME MAC	CEDI
		A	B	HHH		
	None					

**IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**Section A: Recommendations and supporting information associated with listed requirements: N/A**

*"Should" denotes a recommendation.*

X-Ref Requirement Number	Recommendations or other supporting information:

**Section B: All other recommendations and supporting information: N/A**

**V. CONTACTS**

**Pre-Implementation Contact(s):** Lisa Sullivan, 410-786-2841 or Lisa.Sullivan@cms.hhs.gov , Olufemi Shodeke, 410-786-1644 or olufemi.shodeke@cms.hhs.gov

**Post-Implementation Contact(s):** Contact your Contracting Officer's Representative (COR).

**VI. FUNDING**

**Section A: For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):**

The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

**ATTACHMENTS: 0**

### 3.3.2.4 - Signature Requirements

*(Rev.751; Issued: 10-20-17; Effective: 11-20-17; Implementation: 11-20-17)*

This section is applicable for *Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs), Zone Program Integrity Contractors/ Unified Program Integrity Contractors (ZPICs/UPICs), Supplemental Medical Review Contractors (SMRCs), Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT), and Recovery Audit Contractor (RACs), as indicated.*

For medical review purposes, Medicare requires that services provided/ordered/*certified* be authenticated by the *persons responsible for the care of the beneficiary in accordance with Medicare's policies. For example, if the physician's authenticated documentation corroborates the nurse's unsigned note, and the physician was the responsible party per Medicare's payment policy, medical reviewers would consider signature requirements to have been met.* The method used shall be a handwritten or electronic signature. Stamped signatures are not acceptable.

**NOTE:** Scribes are not providers of items or services. When a scribe is used by a provider in documenting medical record entries (e.g. progress notes), CMS does not require the scribe to sign/date the documentation. The treating physician's/non-physician practitioner's (NPP's) signature on a note indicates that the physician/NPP affirms the note adequately documents the care provided. Reviewers are only required to look for the signature (and date) of the treating physician/non-physician practitioner on the note. Reviewers shall not deny claims for items or services because a scribe has not signed/dated a note.

**EXCEPTION 1:** Facsimiles of original written or electronic signatures are acceptable for the certifications of terminal illness for hospice.

**EXCEPTION 2:** There are some circumstances for which an order does not need to be signed. For example, orders for some clinical diagnostic tests are not required to be signed. The rules in 42 CFR 410 and Pub.100-02 chapter 15, §80.6.1 state that if the order for the clinical diagnostic test is unsigned, there must be medical documentation (e.g., a progress note) by the treating physician that he/she intended the clinical diagnostic test be performed. This documentation showing the intent that the test be performed must be authenticated by the author via a handwritten or electronic signature.

**EXCEPTION 3:** Other regulations and the CMS' instructions regarding conditions of payment related to signatures (such as timeliness standards for particular benefits) take precedence. For medical review purposes, if the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD and CMS manuals are silent on whether the signature needs to be legible or present and the signature is illegible/missing, the reviewer shall follow the guidelines listed below to discern the identity and credentials (e.g., MD, RN, etc.) of the signator. In cases where the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD and CMS manuals have specific signature requirements, those signature requirements take precedence.

**EXCEPTION 4:** CMS would permit use of a rubber stamp for signature in accordance with the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 in the case of an author with a physical disability that can provide proof to a CMS contractor of his/her inability to sign their signature due to their disability. By affixing the rubber stamp, the provider is certifying that they have reviewed the document.

**NOTE:** Conditions of participation (COP) are not conditions of payment.

If MAC and CERT reviewers find reasons for denial unrelated to signature requirements, the reviewer need not proceed to signature authentication. If the criteria in the relevant Medicare policy cannot be met but for a key piece of medical documentation that contains a missing or illegible signature, the reviewer shall proceed to the signature assessment.

Providers should not add late signatures to the medical record, (beyond the short delay that occurs during the transcription process) but instead should make use of the signature authentication process. The signature authentication process described below should also be used for illegible signatures.

## A. Handwritten Signature

A handwritten signature is a mark or sign by an individual on a document signifying knowledge, approval, acceptance or obligation.

- If the signature is illegible, MACs, ZPICs/*UPICs*, SMRC, and CERT shall consider evidence in a signature log, attestation statement, or other documentation submitted to determine the identity of the author of a medical record entry.
- If the signature is missing from an order, MACs, SMRC, and CERT **shall disregard the order** during the review of the claim (e.g., the reviewer will proceed as if the order was not received).
- If the signature is missing from any other medical documentation (other than an order), MACs, SMRC, and CERT shall accept a signature attestation from the author of the medical record entry.

## B. Signature Log

Providers will sometimes include a signature log in the documentation they submit that lists the typed or printed name of the author associated with initials or illegible signature. The signature log might be included on the actual page where the initials or illegible signature are used or might be a separate document. Reviewers should encourage providers to list their credentials in the log. However, reviewers shall not deny a claim for a signature log that is missing credentials. Reviewers shall consider all submitted signature logs regardless of the date they were created. Reviewers are encouraged to file signature logs in an easily accessible manner to minimize the cost of future reviews where the signature log may be needed again.

## C. Signature Attestation Statement

Providers will sometimes include an attestation statement in the documentation they submit. In order to be considered valid for Medicare medical review purposes, an attestation statement must be signed and dated by the author of the medical record entry and must contain sufficient information to identify the beneficiary.

Should a provider choose to submit an attestation statement, they may choose to use the following statement:

“I, \_\_\_\_\_ [print full name of the physician/practitioner]\_\_\_\_\_, hereby attest that the medical record entry for \_\_\_\_\_ [date of service]\_\_\_\_\_ accurately reflects signatures/notations that I made in my capacity as \_\_\_\_\_ [insert provider credentials, e.g., M.D.]\_\_\_\_\_ when I treated/diagnosed the above listed Medicare beneficiary. I do hereby attest that this information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and I understand that any falsification, omission, or concealment of material fact may subject me to administrative, civil, or criminal liability.”

Although this format is acceptable, the CMS currently neither requires nor instructs providers to use a certain form or format. A general request for signature attestation shall be considered a non-standardized follow-up question from the contractors to the providers. However, since no form for signature attestation has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the contractors should not give the providers any standard format on which to submit the attestation. Once the OMB has assigned an OMB Paperwork Reduction Act number to this attestation form, its use will be mandatory.

**Note:** The MACs and CERT shall NOT consider attestation statements where there is no associated medical record entry. Reviewers shall NOT consider attestation statements from someone other than the author of the medical record entry in question (even in cases where two individuals are in the same group, one should not sign for the other in medical record entries or attestation statements). Reviewers shall consider all attestations that meet the above requirements regardless of the date the attestation was created, except in those cases where the regulations or policy indicate that a signature must be in place prior to a given event or


a given date. For example, if a policy states the physician must sign the plan of care before therapy begins, an attestation can be used to clarify the identity associated with an illegible signature. However, such attestation cannot be used to “backdate” the plan of care.


#### D. Signature Guidelines

The guidelines below will assist in determining whether to consider the signature requirements met:

- In the situations where the guidelines indicate “**signature requirements met,**” the reviewer shall consider the entry.
- In situations where the guidelines indicate “**contact billing provider and ask a non-standardized follow up question,**” the reviewer shall contact the person or organization that billed the claim and ask if the billing entity would like to submit an attestation statement or signature log within 20 calendar days. The 20 day timeframe begins on the date of the telephone contact with the provider or on the date the request letter is received by the provider. If the biller submits a signature log or attestation, the reviewer shall consider the contents of the medical record entry.
- In cases where a reviewer has requested a signature attestation or log, the time for completing the review is extended by 15 days. This extension starts upon receipt of the signature attestation or log.
- The MACs, CERT and ZPICs/*UPICs* shall document all contacts with the provider and/or other efforts to authenticate the signature.

**Note:** The MACs, CERT and ZPICs/*UPICs* shall **NOT** contact the **biller when the claim should be denied for reasons unrelated** to the signature requirement.

		Signature Requirement Met	Contact billing provider and ask a non-standardized follow up question
1	Legible full signature	X	
2	Legible first initial and last name	X	
3	Illegible signature over a typed or printed name  Example :  John Whigg, MD	X	
4	Illegible signature where the letterhead, addressograph or other information on the page indicates the identity of the signatory.  Example: An illegible signature appears on a prescription. The letterhead of the prescription lists (3) physicians’ names. One of the names is circled.	X	
5	Illegible signature NOT over a typed/printed name and NOT on letterhead, but the submitted documentation <b>is accompanied</b> by: a signature log, or an attestation statement	X	
6	Illegible signature NOT over a typed/printed name, NOT on letterhead and the documentation is <b>UNaccompanied</b> by:		X

	a signature log, or an attestation statement  Example: 		
7	Initials over a typed or printed name	X	
8	Initials NOT over a typed/printed name but <b>accompanied</b> by: a signature log, or an attestation statement	X	
9	Initials NOT over a typed/printed name <b>UNaccompanied</b> by: a signature log, or an attestation statement		X
10	Unsigned typed note with provider's typed name  Example: John Whigg, MD		X
11	Unsigned typed note without providers typed/printed name		X
12	Unsigned handwritten note, the only entry on the page		X
13	Unsigned handwritten note where other entries on the same page in the same handwriting are signed.	X	
14	"signature on file"		X

## E. Electronic Signatures

Providers using electronic systems need to recognize that there is a potential for misuse or abuse with alternate signature methods. For example, providers need a system and software products that are protected against modification, etc., and should apply adequate administrative procedures that correspond to recognized standards and laws. The individual whose name is on the alternate signature method and the provider bear the responsibility for the authenticity of the information for which an attestation has been provided. Physicians are encouraged to check with their attorneys and malpractice insurers concerning the use of alternative signature methods.

## F. Electronic Prescribing

Electronic prescribing (e-prescribing) is the transmission of prescription or prescription-related information through electronic media. E-prescribing takes place between a prescriber and dispenser, pharmacy benefit manager (PBM), or health plan. It can take place directly or through an e-prescribing network. With e-prescribing, health care professionals can electronically transmit both new prescriptions and responses to renewal requests to a pharmacy without having to write or fax the prescription. E-prescribing can save time, enhance office and pharmacy productivity, and improve beneficiary safety and quality of care.

A "qualified" e-prescribing system is one that meets the Medicare Part D requirements described in 42 CFR 423.160 (Standards for Electronic Prescribing).

### 1. E-Prescribing for Part B Medications (Other than Controlled Substances)

The MAC, CERT and ZPIC/*UPIC* reviewers shall accept as a valid order any Part B medications, other than controlled substances, ordered through a qualified e-prescribing system. For Medicare Part B medical review purposes, a qualified e-prescribing system is one that meets all 42 CFR\_§423.160 requirements. When Part B medications have been ordered through a qualified e-prescribing system, the reviewer shall NOT require the provider to produce hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a medication order.

## **2. E-Prescribing for Part B Controlled Substance Medications**

Historically, the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) has not permitted the prescribing of controlled substance medications through e-prescribing systems. Therefore, when reviewing claims for controlled substance medications, MAC, CERT and ZPIC/*UPIC* reviewers shall only accept hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a medication order. However, the DEA is in the process of establishing requirements for electronic prescriptions for controlled substances. Refer to 21 CFR §§1300, 1304, 1306 and 1311 for further information.

## **3. E-Prescribing for Medications Incident to DME**

The MAC, CERT and ZPIC/*UPIC* reviewers shall accept as valid any e-prescribed order for medications incident to Durable Medical Equipment (DME), other than controlled substances. For the purpose of conducting Medicare medical review of medications incident to DME, a qualified e-prescribing system is one that meets all §42 CFR 423.160 requirements. When medications incident to DME have been ordered through a qualified e-prescribing system, the reviewer shall NOT require the provider to produce hardcopy pen and ink signatures as evidence of a medication order.

## **G. Additional Signature Requirements for Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics, & Supplies (DMEPOS)**

Refer to PIM chapter 5 for further details regarding additional signature requirements for DMEPOS.

## **H. Signature Dating Requirements**

For medical review purposes, if the relevant regulation, NCD, LCD and other CMS manuals are silent on whether the signature must be dated, the MACs, CERT and ZPICs/*UPICs* shall ensure that the documentation contains enough information for the reviewer to determine the date on which the service was performed/ ordered.

Example: The claim selected for review is for a hospital visit on October 4. The ADR response is one page from the hospital medical record containing three (3) entries. The first entry is dated October 4 and is a physical therapy note. The second entry is a physician visit note that is undated. The third entry is a nursing note dated October 4. The reviewer should conclude that the physician visit was conducted on October 4.

## **I. Additional Documentation Request Language Regarding Signatures**

The CERT contractor shall use language in its ADR letters reminding providers that the provider may need to contact another entity to obtain the signed version of a document. For example, a hospital discharge summary in the physician's office files may be unsigned, whereas the version of the discharge summary in the hospital files should be signed and dated. MACs are encouraged to use such language in their letters. In addition, MACs, CERT and ZPICs/*UPICs* have the discretion to add language to their ADRs stating that the provider is encouraged to review their documentation prior to submission, to ensure that all services and orders are signed appropriately. In cases where a reviewer finds a note with a missing or illegible signature, the ADR may inform the provider that it should submit a signature log or signature attestation as part of the ADR response.

The following is sample language that reviewers may choose to use in certain ADRs:

“Medicare requires that medical record entries for services provided/ordered be authenticated by the author. The method used shall be a handwritten or electronic signature. Stamp signatures are not acceptable. Beneficiary identification, date of service, and provider of the service should be clearly identified on the submitted documentation.



The documentation you submit in response to this request should comply with these requirements. This may require you to contact the hospital or other facility where you provided the service and obtain your signed progress notes, plan of care, discharge summary, etc.

If you question the legibility of your signature, you may submit an attestation statement in your ADR response.

If the signature requirements are not met, the reviewer will conduct the review without considering the documentation with the missing or illegible signature. This could lead the reviewer to determine that the medical necessity for the service billed has not been substantiated.”

## **J. Potential Fraud Referrals**

At any time, suspected fraud shall result in a referral to the ZPIC/*UPIC* for development. If MAC, *RAC*, *SMRC* or CERT reviewers identify a pattern of missing/illegible signatures, the reviewer shall refer to the appropriate ZPIC/*UPIC* for further development.