

CMS Manual System	Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS)
Pub 100-04 Medicare Claims Processing	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)
Transmittal 3388	Date: October 30, 2015
	Change Request 9362

SUBJECT: Manual Updates to Clarify IRF Claims Processing

I. SUMMARY OF CHANGES: The purpose of this CR is to update Pub. 100-04, Medicare Claims Processing Manual, chapter 3, to clarify key components of Inpatient Rehab Facility (IRF) payment policies. These changes are intended only to clarify the existing policies and no system or processing changes are anticipated. The following changes are being made:

- To reduce confusion and make things simpler for providers, we have renamed the files containing ICD diagnosis codes used to determine compliance with the 60 percent rule. In addition, we have provided a guide to providers to help them navigate the transition from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM. The guide to the different files is now referenced in the manual, rather than the outdated names of the files themselves, and a specific location on the CMS website is provided for accessing the guide.
- Compliance with the regulatory requirements for the arthritis conditions specified in Chapter 3, Section 140.1.1 B-D of the Medicare Claims Processing Manual (Pub. 100-04) cannot be determined by the presence of an impairment group code or diagnosis code alone, but can only be verified through review of the IRF medical record. Thus, we removed arthritis impairment group codes and diagnosis codes from the list of codes used to determine presumptive compliance for compliance review periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015. However, beginning on or after October 1, 2015, we also provided for an additional item on the IRF-PAI (item #24A) to enable IRFs to indicate whether the patient's arthritis condition(s) meets all of the relevant regulatory requirements specified in Chapter 3, Section 140.1.1 B-D of the Medicare Claims Processing Manual (Pub. 100-04).
- We are adding a new subsection D to Section 140.1.3 of Chapter 3 to guide contractors in using the new item #24A on the IRF-PAI to verify that the arthritis codes meet the 60 percent rule requirements.
- Contractors are instructed to: Obtain a new report from the CASPER system, Determine whether or not all of the arthritis cases listed on the new report would help the IRF meet or exceed the 60 percent threshold, Obtain and review a random sample of the arthritis cases, if in fact they would help the IRF meet or exceed the 60 percent threshold, Recompute the IRF's compliance percentage with the addition of the arthritis cases, as appropriate.
- We are changing the enumeration after the new subsection D to reflect the insertion of this new subsection.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 2015

**Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: December 2, 2015

Disclaimer for manual changes only: The revision date and transmittal number apply only to red italicized material. Any other material was previously published and remains unchanged. However, if this revision contains a table of contents, you will receive the new/revised information only, and not the entire table of contents.

II. CHANGES IN MANUAL INSTRUCTIONS: (N/A if manual is not updated)

R=REVISED, N=NEW, D=DELETED-Only One Per Row.

R/N/D	CHAPTER / SECTION / SUBSECTION / TITLE
R	Chapter 03 / 140.1.3 / Verification Process Used To Determine If The Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Met The Classification Criteria

III. FUNDING:

For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):

The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

IV. ATTACHMENTS:

**Business Requirements
Manual Instruction**

Attachment - Business Requirements

Pub. 100-04	Transmittal: 3388	Date: October 30, 2015	Change Request: 9362
-------------	-------------------	------------------------	----------------------

SUBJECT: Manual Updates to Clarify IRF Claims Processing

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 2015

**Unless otherwise specified, the effective date is the date of service.*

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: December 2, 2015

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Background: The purpose of this Change Request (CR), which is intended only to clarify the existing policies with no system or processing changes anticipated, is to update Pub. 100-04, Medicare Claims Processing Manual, chapter 3, to clarify key components of Inpatient Rehab Facility (IRF) payment policies.

B. Policy:

This CR manualizes a number of policy clarifications pertaining to the inpatient rehab facility (IRF) payment policies.

II. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS TABLE

"Shall" denotes a mandatory requirement, and "should" denotes an optional requirement.

Number	Requirement	Responsibility									
		A/B MAC			D M E M A C	Shared- System Maintainers				Other	
		A	B	H H H		F I S S	M C S	V M S	C W F		
9362.1	Contractors shall review and be aware of the manual revisions as they concern IRF payment policies.	X									Hospital, IRF, SNF
9362.2	The A/B MAC (A) shall use the process described in Section 140.1.3D "Additional Verification of Arthritis Condition Criteria" for compliance review periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015.	X									
9362.3	The A/B MAC (A) shall continue to submit, by the 15th of each month, the reports specified in Section 140.1.3C or 140.1.3D.	X									

III. PROVIDER EDUCATION TABLE

Number	Requirement	Responsibility			
		A/B MAC	D M E M A C		CEDI
		A	B	H H H	
9362.4	MLN Article: A provider education article related to this instruction will be available at http://www.cms.gov/Outreach-and-Education/Medicare-Learning-Network-MLN/MLNMattersArticles/ shortly after the CR is released. You will receive notification of the article release via the established "MLN Matters" listserv. Contractors shall post this article, or a direct link to this article, on their Web sites and include information about it in a listserv message within 5 business days after receipt of the notification from CMS announcing the availability of the article. In addition, the provider education article shall be included in the contractor's next regularly scheduled bulletin. Contractors are free to supplement MLN Matters articles with localized information that would benefit their provider community in billing and administering the Medicare program correctly.	X			

IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Section A: Recommendations and supporting information associated with listed requirements: N/A

"Should" denotes a recommendation.

X-Ref Requirement Number	Recommendations or other supporting information:

Section B: All other recommendations and supporting information: N/A

V. CONTACTS

Pre-Implementation Contact(s): Anthony Hodge, 410-786-6645 or Anthony.Hodge@cms.hhs.gov , Bill Ullman, 410-786-5667 or william.ullman@cms.hhs.gov

Post-Implementation Contact(s): Contact your Contracting Officer's Representative (COR).

VI. FUNDING

Section A: For Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs):

The Medicare Administrative Contractor is hereby advised that this constitutes technical direction as defined in your contract. CMS does not construe this as a change to the MAC Statement of Work. The contractor is not obligated to incur costs in excess of the amounts allotted in your contract unless and until specifically authorized by the Contracting Officer. If the contractor considers anything provided, as described above, to be outside the current scope of work, the contractor shall withhold performance on the part(s) in question and immediately notify the Contracting Officer, in writing or by e-mail, and request formal directions regarding continued performance requirements.

ATTACHMENTS: 0

Medicare Claims Processing Manual

Chapter 3 - Inpatient Hospital Billing

140.1.3 - Verification Process Used To Determine If The Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Met The Classification Criteria

(Rev.3388, Issued: 10-30-15, Effective: 12-02-15, Implementation: 12-02-15)

A. - Determination of the Compliance Review Time Period.

1. General Guideline To Determine The Compliance Review Period. In general, the RO and A/B MAC (A) will use data from the most recent, consecutive, and appropriate 12-month time period (as defined by CMS) that starts on or after July 1, 2004, to determine if a facility is in compliance with all of the criteria used to classify a facility as an IRF. The RO and A/B MAC (A) will notify the facility of the time period that will be used. The RO and A/B MAC (A) will begin reviewing data 4 months prior to the start of the facility's next cost reporting period.

The compliance review periods are determined based on the following:

1. Guidelines for Determining Compliance Review Periods For IRFs With Cost Reporting Periods That Start Between July 1, 2004 and October 31, 2004.

Data prior to July 1, 2004 will not be used to determine an IRF's compliance with the requirements in §140.1.1B-D. Thus, for IRFs with cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2004 and before November 1, 2004, less than 12 months of data will be used in their first compliance review period after July 1, 2004. Refer to the first 5 rows of the Table of Compliance Review Periods (below) for an illustration of this.

2. Guidelines for Determining an IRF's Compliance Percentage When the Required Compliance Percentage Threshold Differs Across Two Cost Reporting Periods

When a cost reporting period starts on or after July 1, 2005, but not later than June 30, 2006, and the compliance review period spans two cost reporting periods, the compliance percentage is calculated using either of the following two methods. The IRF must have a patient population in each of the two portions of time in order to use either of the two methods described below.

(A) The IRF must meet the applicable compliance percentage threshold in each of the two portions of the compliance review period separately, as illustrated in the example below.

The following is an example of how this first method would be applied:

The compliance review period for an IRF that has a cost reporting period from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 is March 1, 2005 to February 28, 2006.

The IRF must meet a compliance threshold of 50 percent for the cost reporting period of July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005.

The IRF must meet a compliance threshold of 60 percent for the cost reporting period of July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

In this example, the first portion of the compliance review period (from March 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005) is part of the IRF's cost reporting period that started on July 1, 2004 and ends on June 30, 2005. The second portion of the compliance review period (from July 1, 2005 to February 28, 2006) is part of the IRF's cost reporting period that starts on July 1, 2005 and ends on June 30, 2006.

Therefore,

For the portion of the compliance review period from March 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005, the compliance percentage threshold that the IRF must meet is 50 percent.

For the portion of the compliance review period from July 1, 2005 to February 28, 2006, the compliance percentage threshold that the IRF must meet is 60 percent.

If the IRF does not meet the compliance percentage threshold of 50 percent for the March 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005 portion of the compliance review time period, or the compliance percentage threshold of 60 percent for the July 1, 2005 to February 28, 2006 portion of the compliance review time period, it will be determined that the IRF failed to meet the compliance percentage threshold for the entire compliance review period consisting of March 1, 2005 to February 28, 2006.

(B) The A/B MAC (A) computes one weighted average compliance percentage for the entire 12-month compliance review period. The resulting weighted average compliance percentage will be used to determine if the facility met the compliance threshold requirements in §140.1.1B-D.

The following is an example of how this second method would be applied:

The compliance review period for an IRF that has a cost reporting period from August 1, 2005 to July 31, 2006 is April 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006. However, the compliance review period is divided into two portions: April 1, 2005 to July 31, 2005 and August 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006.

In the following hypothetical example, 45 percent of the cases met at least one of the medical conditions listed above in §140.1.1C from April 1, 2005 to July 31, 2005, and 80 percent of the cases met at least one of the medical conditions listed in §140.1.1C from August 1, 2005 to March 31, 2006. The weighted average compliance percentage from the two portions of time must be calculated as follows for compliance review periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

$$4/12 = 0.333 \text{ which is rounded to } 0.33$$

$$8/12 = 0.666 \text{ which is rounded to } 0.67$$

$$0.33 \times 45\% = 0.1485$$

$$0.67 \times 80\% = 0.5360$$

$$0.1485 + 0.5360 = 0.6845 \text{ which is rounded to } 68\%$$

Based on this result of 68 percent from the weighted average calculation, it will be determined that the IRF met the compliance percentage threshold for the compliance review period starting on April 1, 2005.

1. Guidelines for Determining an IRF's Compliance Percentage When the Required Compliance Percentage Threshold Is the Same for the Entire Compliance Review Period

To minimize the level of effort required by Medicare contractors and IRFs, contractors must review one continuous 12-month period if the compliance percentage threshold is the same throughout the entire compliance review period for all compliance review periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

2. Guidelines for Determining the Compliance Review Period of a Facility Classified as a New IRF. According to the regulations in §412.25(c), a new IRF can only begin being paid under the IRF PPS at the start of a cost reporting period. If the IRF begins treating patients prior to the start of a cost reporting period, it may receive payment under the IPPS until the start of the next cost reporting period, at which point it can begin receiving payment under the IRF PPS if it meets all of the applicable requirements in §412.25 and §412.29. A new IRF will have a compliance review period that starts immediately when its cost reporting period starts, and ends four months before the start of its next cost reporting period. For example, if a facility has a cost reporting period that starts on July 1, 2012 and is a new IRF, its compliance review period would start on July 1, 2012 and end on February 28, 2013. Thus, a facility classified as a new IRF will have an initial compliance review period that is 8 months in length, in order to allow the RO and A/B MAC (A) a 4-month time period to make and administer a compliance determination.

3. Guidelines for Determining an IRF's Compliance When the IRF Expands its Bed Capacity. Effective October 1, 2011, as long as an IRF meets all of the applicable requirements in §412.25(b) and 412.29(c)(2), it may add new beds one time, at any time, during a cost reporting period. The IRF must provide written certification that the inpatient population it intends to serve (including the patients served in the new beds) meets the requirements in §412.29(b). In addition, the new IRF beds will be included in the compliance review calculations under §412.29(b) from the time that they are added to the IRF.

4. Guidelines for Determining the Compliance Review Period of a Facility That Changes Its Cost Reporting Period. A facility that changes its cost reporting period will have a new compliance review period that is based on its new cost reporting period. For example, if an IRF changes the start of its cost reporting period from July 1, 2011 to October 1, 2011, then the start date of its compliance review period will also change from March 1, 2011 to June 1, 2011. Excessive changes to cost reporting periods are not permitted.

The table below entitled "Examples of Compliance Review Periods" provides examples of compliance review periods associated with various cost reporting periods.

Examples of Compliance Review Periods. For a facility that has been classified as an IRF, but is not a "new" IRF as defined below in §140.1.4, the following table provides examples of the compliance review periods associated with different cost reporting periods.

Examples of Compliance Review Periods

Start Date of the Cost Reporting Period for Which a Facility Will (or Will Not) be Classified (or Retain Classification) as an IRF	Compliance Review Period: (Admissions or Discharges During)	# of Months in Review Period	Compliance Percentage Threshold
07/01/2005	07/01/2004 - 02/28/2005	8	50%
08/01/2005	07/01/2004 - 03/31/2005	9	50%
09/01/2005	07/01/2004 - 04/30/2005	10	50%
10/01/2005	07/01/2004 - 05/31/2005	11	50%
11/01/2005	07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005	12	50%
07/01/2006	03/01/2005 - 02/28/2006	12	03/01/2005 to 06/30/2005: 50 % 07/01/2005 to 02/28/2006: 60 %
08/01/2006	04/01/2005-03/31/2006	12	04/01/2005 to 07/31/2005: 50 % 08/01/2005 to 03/31/2006: 60 %
09/01/2006	05/01/2005-04/30/2006	12	05/01/2005 to 08/31/2005: 50 % 09/01/2005 to 04/30/2006: 60 %
10/01/2006	06/01/2005-05/31/2006	12	06/01/2005 to 09/30/2005: 50 % 10/01/2005 to 05/31/2006: 60 %
11/01/2006	07/01/2005-06/30/2006	12	07/01/2005 to 10/31/2005: 50 % 11/01/2005 to 06/30/2006: 60 %
12/01/2006	08/01/2005-07/31/2006	12	08/01/2005 to 11/30/2005: 50% 12/01/2005 to 07/31/2006: 60%

For cost reporting periods beginning on or after July 1, 2005, the compliance threshold that must be met is 60 percent. Thus, for all compliance review periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 (except in the case of new IRFs, as described in section 140.3.4 above), the compliance review period will be one continuous 12-month time period beginning 4 months before the start of a cost reporting period and ending 4 months before the beginning of the next cost reporting period.

B. - Types of Data Used to Determine Compliance with the Classification Criteria

1. Starting on July 1, 2004, the A/B MAC (A) will use the verification procedures specified below in subsection C which is entitled “Verification of the Medical Condition Criterion Using the Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility-Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI) Data Records” or subsection D which is entitled “Verification of the Medical Condition Criterion Using the Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility’s Total Inpatient

Population” to verify that an IRF has complied with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

2. The verification procedure specified below in subsection C (that is, verification using the IRF-PAI data) will only be used if the A/B MAC (A) has verified that the IRF’s Medicare Part A fee-for-service inpatient population is at least 50 percent of the IRF’s total inpatient population. Effective for compliance review periods beginning on or after October 1, 2009, A/B MACs (A) must include the IRF’s Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) inpatient population, along with the IRF’s Medicare Part A fee-for-service inpatient population, in determining whether at least 50 percent of the IRF’s total inpatient population is made up of Medicare patients.

3. General Guideline Regarding Submission of a List of the Inpatients in Each IRF: In order to verify that an IRF’s Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) inpatient populations (combined) reflect the IRF’s total inpatient population, the A/B MAC (A) in writing will instruct the IRF to send the A/B MAC (A), by a specific date, a list showing the hospital patient number of each inpatient IRF admission during the IRF’s 12-month compliance review period. Note that the term “hospital patient number” used throughout this section refers to a unique patient identifier used internally within the hospital for patient identification and record-keeping purposes. For each inpatient on the list, the IRF must include the payer the IRF can bill, or has billed, for treatment and services furnished to the inpatient. If an inpatient on the list has multiple payers that the IRF can bill, or has billed, the IRF must include and specify each type of payer. In addition, for each inpatient on the list, the IRF must include the IRF admission and discharge dates.

Exception to the General Guideline: The Secretary of Health and Human Services can declare a Public Health Emergency under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act or another appropriate statute, and the President can declare either a National Emergency under the National Emergencies Act or a Major Disaster under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, or other appropriate law. In accordance with such declarations, certain regulations or operational policies may be waived in specific geographic areas for limited and defined periods of time. If applicable, in accordance with the waiver provisions, the IRF may be permitted to admit patients (referred to in this section as national emergency or disaster inpatients) who otherwise would be admitted to another inpatient setting. The national emergency or disaster inpatients will not be included as part of the IRF’s total inpatient population when the IRF’s compliance with the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D is determined by the A/B MAC (A) reading a sample of medical records. Therefore, when the IRF submits the list of hospital patient numbers stipulated above in section 140.1.3B3, the IRF will identify each national emergency or disaster inpatient by placing either the capital letter “E” or “D” after the patient’s unique internal hospital identification number. The A/B MAC (A) will verify the information and, if appropriate, exclude these patients from the list of inpatients used to select a sample of medical records. The IRF should appropriately document in the medical record sufficient information to identify an inpatient as a national emergency or disaster inpatient.

4. The A/B MAC (A) will use the list of hospital patient numbers to determine the IRF’s total inpatient population during the IRF’s compliance review period. The A/B MAC (A) will then determine whether the compliance percentage threshold differs or is the same throughout the IRF’s compliance review period.

If the compliance percentage threshold differs during the compliance review period (i.e., if it is 50 percent for one portion of the period and 60 percent for the other portion), then the A/B MAC (A) must determine that at least 50 percent of the IRF's total inpatient population consisted of Medicare Part A fee-for-service patients for both time periods. For example, the A/B MAC (A) will consider the portion of the period in which the compliance percentage threshold is 50 percent and the portion of the period in which the compliance percentage threshold is 60 percent independently and determine if the IRF's total inpatient population consists of at least 50 percent Medicare Part A fee-for-service patients in each of the two time periods.

If, however, the compliance percentage threshold is the same throughout the IRF's compliance review period (i.e., 60 percent throughout the period), then the A/B MAC (A) must determine that at least 50 percent of the IRF's total inpatient population consisted of Medicare Part A fee-for-service or Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) patients (beginning on or after October 1, 2009) for the entire 12-month period.

In addition to the above processes, the A/B MAC (A) has the discretion to sample and compare other parameters (that is, diagnoses, procedures, length-of-stay, or any other relevant parameter) to determine that the Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) population (beginning on or after October 1, 2009) is representative of the IRF's total inpatient population.

A determination by the A/B MAC (A), in accordance with the preceding methodologies, that the IRF's inpatient population for the compliance review period consisted of at least 50 percent Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) patients (beginning on or after October 1, 2009) means that the A/B MAC (A) can use the procedure stipulated below in subsection C to presumptively determine if the IRF met the compliance threshold as specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

5. The A/B MAC (A) will inform the RO if an IRF fails to send the list showing the hospital patient number associated with each inpatient IRF admission during the most recent, consecutive, and appropriate 12-month period, as defined by CMS. Further, the A/B MAC (A) will inform the RO if the list of hospital patient numbers does not show the payer or payers or the admission and discharge dates for each hospital patient number on the list. The RO will notify the IRF that failure to send the A/B MAC (A) the list within an additional 10 calendar days will result in a determination by the RO that the IRF has not met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D and the facility will no longer be eligible for payment under the IRF PPS.

C. - Verification of the Medical Condition Criteria Using the Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility-Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI) Data Records (The Presumptive Methodology)

1. To determine if a facility has presumptively complied with the criteria specified above in §140.1.1B-D, the CMS will enable the A/B MAC (A) to access the CMS' IRF-PAI data records. Specifically, each A/B MAC (A) will be allowed to access only the IRF-PAI information submitted by IRFs that submit claims to that A/B MAC (A). In order to ensure that the software that matches each IRF to a particular A/B MAC (A) is constantly updated, the A/B MAC (A) must electronically send the RO a table that has at least the following title and column headings:

A/B MAC (A) List Of IRF Provider Numbers (Specify The A/B MAC (A)'s Name)

The Name of Each IRF That Submits Claims To This A/B MAC (A)	IRF Provider Number	IRF Cost Reporting Period
--	---------------------	---------------------------

After checking the A/B MAC (A)'s list of IRFs for completeness and, as necessary, communicating with the A/B MAC (A) to ensure the accuracy of the information, the RO will forward the A/B MAC (A)'s list of IRFs to the CMS contractor that maintains the IRF-PAI database. The CMS contractor that maintains the IRF-PAI database will then, if necessary, update the IRF-PAI database software used to presumptively verify compliance with the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D. The A/B MAC (A) must coordinate with their CMS RO to obtain access to the software system.

The A/B MAC (A) will provide the RO with user information from all A/B MAC (A) staff that are required to access the IRF-PAI data records.

2. When the A/B MAC (A) accesses the IRF-PAI data records, the A/B MAC (A) will be able to generate an IRF compliance review report using the IRF-PAI information from the IRFs on the A/B MAC (A)'s list. *The CASPER software used to generate the IRF compliance review report will automatically use the specific diagnosis codes from the appropriate files listed in “Presumptive Methodology Files – Implementation of Changes” that is attached to the “IRF Compliance Rule Specification Files,” which are available for download from the IRF PPS website at <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/InpatientRehabFacPPS/Criteria.html>, to determine if a particular IRF is presumptively in compliance with the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D.* Prior to generating the IRF compliance review report, the A/B MAC (A) must allow the IRF to decide whether the IRF compliance review report will be generated using the IRF-PAI data records of patients who were admitted during the IRF's compliance review period (even if they were discharged outside of the compliance review period), or the IRF-PAI data records of patients who were discharged during the IRF's compliance review period (even if they were admitted outside of the compliance review period).

Below are the sections of the IRF compliance review report with example data: IRF

Compliance Review Report

State	Provider Number	Provider Name	Cost Report Start Date	Compliance Review Period
Any State	IRF Number	Best Rehab	08/01/2008	04/01/2007 To 03/31/2008

Submitted Assessments	Eligible Assessments	Percent
100	60	60%

The submitted assessments section identifies all of the IRF-PAI data records that the IRF submitted to the IRF-PAI database during the compliance review period. *The eligible assessments are the assessments submitted during the compliance review period that match one of the codes in the appropriate files listed in “Presumptive Methodology Files – Implementation of Changes” that is attached to the IRF Compliance Rule Specification Files, which can be downloaded from the IRF PPS website at <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/InpatientRehabFacPPS/Criteria.html>.* The cost report start date shown is the start of the facility’s next cost reporting period.

3. If an IRF’s inpatient Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) populations (combined) (beginning on or after October 1, 2009) are at least 50 percent of its total inpatient population and the presumptive methodology (described above) indicates that the IRF met or exceeded the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D, then the IRF is presumed to have met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D. However, even when an IRF is presumed to have met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D, the RO and A/B MAC (A) still have the discretion to instruct the IRF to send to the RO or A/B MAC (A) specific sections of the medical records of a random sample of inpatients, or specific sections of the medical records of inpatients identified by other means by the CMS or the A/B MAC (A).

4. Each A/B MAC (A) must submit a report to the appropriate CMS RO (with a copy to the CMS Central Office) on at least a quarterly basis that shows each IRF’s status with respect to compliance with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

The files listed in “Presumptive Methodology Files – Implementation of Changes” that is attached to the IRF Compliance Rule Specification Files, which can be downloaded from the IRF PPS website at <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/InpatientRehabFacPPS/Criteria.html>, will be used to determine presumptive compliance with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

D. – Additional Verification of Arthritis Condition Criteria

Compliance with the regulatory requirements for the arthritis conditions specified above in §140.1.1 B-D cannot be determined by the presence of an impairment group code or diagnosis code alone, but can only be verified through review of the IRF medical record. For this reason, we removed arthritis impairment group codes and diagnosis codes from the list of codes used to determine presumptive compliance for compliance review periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015. However, beginning on or after October 1, 2015, we also provided for an additional item on the IRF-PAI (item #24A) to enable IRFs to indicate whether the patient's arthritis condition(s) meets all of the relevant regulatory requirements specified in §140.1.1 B-D. Using the process described below, the A/B MAC (A) must verify through medical review whether the IRF cases that would not otherwise meet the compliance criteria, and that have a "1 – Yes" marked in item #24A, meet the severity and prior treatment requirements in §140.1.1B-D. If so, then the A/B MAC (A) must add the appropriate number of these cases to the cases that meet the presumptive compliance criteria.

The A/B MAC (A) shall use the following process for compliance review periods beginning on or after October 1, 2015:

1. If the A/B MAC (A) has determined, using the process outlined in subsection C above, that the IRF does not presumptively meet the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D, then the A/B MAC (A) must access an IRF-PAI data report called the IRF Arthritis Verification Report through the CASPER system.

Below are the sections of the IRF Arthritis Verification Report with example data:

IRF Arthritis Verification Report

<i>Provider Number</i>	<i>Provider Name</i>	<i>Patient Name</i>	<i>Patient ID</i>	<i>IRF-PAI ID</i>	<i>Admission Date</i>	<i>Discharge Date</i>
	<i>Best Rehab</i>	<i>A. Smith</i>	<i>12345678A</i>	<i>987654321</i>	<i>10/1/15</i>	<i>10/15/15</i>
		<i>B. Jones</i>	<i>22345678A</i>	<i>987654322</i>	<i>10/2/15</i>	<i>10/14/15</i>
		<i>Z. Honey</i>	<i>32345678A</i>	<i>987654323</i>	<i>10/3/15</i>	<i>10/13/15</i>

- 2. The A/B MAC (A) must determine whether or not adding all of the cases listed on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report for that IRF would be enough to increase the IRF's compliance percentage to equal or exceed 60 percent.*
- 3. If adding all of the cases listed on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report for that IRF would be enough to increase the IRF's compliance percentage to equal or exceed 60 percent, then the A/B MAC (A) must use generally accepted statistical sampling techniques to obtain a statistically valid random sample of those patients listed for the IRF on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report. If the total number of patients listed for the IRF on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report is less than 10, then the A/B MAC (A) will review all patients listed for the IRF on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report. (Note that if adding all the cases listed in the IRF Arthritis Verification Report for that IRF would not be enough to increase the IRF's compliance percentage to equal or exceed 60 percent, then the A/B MAC (A) will not proceed further with the IRF arthritis verification process and will use the presumptive compliance percentage generated from section C above.)*
- 4. The A/B MAC (A) will obtain and examine the medical record sections and any other pertinent information submitted by the IRF to determine if the patients from the random sample obtained in step 2 meet all of the severity and prior treatment requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D.*

5. *The percentage of patients from the list that the A/B MAC (A) determines to have met the severity and prior treatment requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D will be extrapolated to the complete list of patients for the IRF on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report.*
6. *The A/B MAC (A) shall then add the appropriate number of cases (based on the percentage in step 4) from the IRF Arthritis Verification Report to the cases that meet the presumptive compliance criteria, and re-calculate the IRF's presumptive compliance percentage.*

For example:

- *IRF A submitted 545 IRF-PAIs in the compliance review period.*
- *IRF A's presumptive compliance percentage (determined by the A/B MAC (A) using the steps outlined in subsection C above) was less than 60 percent.*
- *In this case, the A/B MAC (A) must access the IRF Arthritis Verification Report.*
- *The IRF Arthritis Verification Report shows 100 patients listed for IRF A.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) determines that inclusion of all 100 patients listed for IRF A would increase IRF A's presumptive compliance percentage enough to meet or exceed the 60 percent threshold.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) uses generally accepted statistical sampling techniques to randomly select 10 patients from that list for medical review, and based on the medical review determines that 7 of the 10 patients meet all of the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) will then extrapolate this percentage ($7/10 = 70$ percent) to the full list of patients shown on the IRF Eligibility Arthritis Verification Report for IRF A. Thus, the A/B MAC (A) will add 70 patients (70 percent of 100) listed for IRF A on the IRF Arthritis Verification Report to the total number of IRF A's patients that meet the presumptive compliance criteria.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) will then recalculate IRF A's presumptive compliance percentage with the addition of the 70 cases.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) will base the determination of the IRF's presumptive compliance with the requirements specified in §140.1.1B-D on the updated calculation of the IRF's presumptive compliance percentage.*
- *The A/B MAC (A) will report this updated presumptive compliance percentage, instead of the presumptive compliance percentage from subsection C above, on the quarterly report that the A/B MAC (A) sends to the appropriate CMS RO (with a copy to the CMS Central Office).*

Note: Even when an IRF is presumed to have met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D using the updated presumptive methodology calculation, the RO and A/B MAC (A) still have the discretion to use the medical review methodology described in subsection E below to verify the IRF's compliance with the requirements in §140.1.1B-D.

E. - Verification of the Medical Condition Criteria Using the Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility's Total Inpatient Population (Medical Review Methodology)

1. The A/B MAC (A) must use the IRF's total inpatient population to verify that the IRF has met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D if:

(i) the IRF's Medicare population (including Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) patients, effective October 1, 2009) is not at least 50 percent of its total inpatient population; or

(ii) the A/B MAC (A) is unable to generate a valid IRF compliance review report using the IRF-PAI database methodology specified previously; or

(iii) the A/B MAC (A) generates an IRF compliance review report, based on the use of the presumptive methodology, which demonstrates that the IRF has not met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

If the IRF's Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) populations (combined, effective October 1, 2009) comprise less than 50 percent of the IRF's total inpatient population, or the A/B MAC (A) otherwise determines that the Medicare Part A fee-for-service and Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) populations (combined, effective October 1, 2009) are not representative of the overall IRF inpatient population, or the A/B MAC (A) is unable to generate a valid report using the presumptive methodology, the presumptive determination is that the IRF did not meet the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

2. As previously stated above, the A/B MAC (A) will instruct the IRF to send the A/B MAC (A) a list showing the hospital patient number of each inpatient that the IRF admitted during the most recent, consecutive, and appropriate 12-month period, as defined by CMS. The list of hospital patient numbers must include the payer(s) and admission and discharge dates that correspond with the inpatients whose hospital patient numbers are shown on the list. The A/B MAC (A) will then use generally accepted statistical sampling techniques to obtain a random sample of inpatients from the list. The random sample of inpatients drawn from the list must be sufficiently large to ensure that the A/B MAC (A) can determine, with at least 95 percent confidence, whether the IRF's compliance percentage is below the required compliance threshold (i.e., not in compliance) or at or above the required compliance threshold (i.e., in compliance).

For example, suppose that the required compliance threshold for an IRF to be in compliance with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D is 60 percent. The

A/B MAC (A) reviews a random sample of claims from IRF A and estimates that IRF A's compliance percentage is 58 percent. Suppose that the standard deviation that the A/B MAC (A) calculates for IRF A's random sample of IRF claims is plus or minus 4 percentage points, so that the 95 percent confidence interval in this particular example is between 54 percent and 62 percent (with 58 percent as the midpoint). In this case, the IRF is considered to be in compliance with the 60 percent rule, since 60 percent is within the 95 percent confidence interval. To verify whether the IRF is in fact in compliance with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D, the A/B MAC (A) may need to draw a larger random sample of the IRF's inpatients. For example, a larger random sample of IRF A's inpatients might have reduced the standard deviation to plus or minus 1 percentage point, which would have led the 95 percent confidence interval to be between 57 percent and 59 percent. This would have demonstrated with 95 percent confidence that the IRF was not in compliance with the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D (because the entire 95 percent confidence interval was below the required compliance threshold of 60 percent).

If the compliance percentage threshold differs within the compliance review period (i.e., is 50 percent for a portion of the compliance review period and 60 percent for the other portion of the period), then a random sample of inpatients will be drawn from each of the two time periods separately. The use of generally recognized statistical sampling principles may result in a determination that it would be inappropriate to use a sample to determine the facility's compliance percentage. If a random sample is not appropriate in a particular case, then the A/B MAC (A) will use the IRF's entire inpatient population to determine the IRF's compliance percentage. In addition, if the IRF had 100 or fewer inpatients during the compliance review period, then the A/B MAC (A) must use the IRF's total inpatient population (consisting of both Medicare and non-Medicare inpatients) to determine the IRF's compliance percentage.

Prior to selecting the random sample of inpatients, the A/B MAC (A) must allow the IRF to decide if the IRF wants the sample to contain either the patients who were admitted during the IRF's compliance review period (even if some of those patients were discharged outside of the compliance review period) or the patients discharged during the IRF's compliance review period (even if some of those patients were admitted outside of the compliance review period).

If the A/B MAC (A) uses a random sample of the IRF's inpatient population (rather than the IRF's total inpatient population) to determine the IRF's compliance percentage, then the A/B MAC (A) must ensure that an adequate sample size is used to determine (with at least a 95 percent statistical level of confidence) whether or not the IRF has met the requirements in §140.1.1B-D. In some cases, this will require the A/B MAC (A) to expand the size of the random sample of inpatients selected from a particular IRF.

The A/B MAC (A) will instruct the IRF to send it copies of specific sections of the medical records for all of the inpatients to be used in the compliance review. The A/B MAC (A) has the discretion to decide which specific sections of the medical records to obtain, provided that the requested medical record sections contain enough information to allow the A/B MAC (A)'s reviewers to determine the medical condition(s) for which each inpatient received treatment in the IRF. In addition to submitting the requested sections of the medical records, the IRF has the discretion to send the A/B MAC (A) other clinical information regarding these same inpatients.

3. The A/B MAC (A) will examine the medical record sections and any other information submitted by the IRF to determine if the IRF meets the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D. To determine if a specific inpatient matches one of the medical conditions specified in §140.1.1C, the A/B MAC (A) may use the diagnosis and impairment group codes specified in the appropriate files listed in "Presumptive Methodology Files – Implementation of Changes" that is attached to the IRF Compliance Rule Specification Files, which can be downloaded from the IRF PPS website at <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/InpatientRehabFacPPS/Criteria.html>, for general guidance. The A/B MAC (A) is not permitted to use these codes to make a final determination as to whether or not the specific inpatient required intensive rehabilitation services for treatment of one or more of the medical conditions specified in §140.1.1C. The determination of whether a specific inpatient required intensive rehabilitation services for treatment of a condition can only be determined through careful review of that inpatient's unique clinical characteristics and circumstances, as reflected in the inpatient's medical record.

4. In general, when the A/B MAC (A) is using a sample of medical records to determine compliance with the requirements in §140.1.1B-D, the A/B MAC (A) always has the discretion to determine if a patient meets or does not meet any of the medical conditions listed in §140.1.1C based upon a review of the clinical record, regardless of the results of the presumptive methodology described previously. In other words, the compliance percentage that is determined using the medical review methodology described in this section will supersede the compliance percentage that was determined for the same compliance review period using the presumptive methodology. To ensure that the compliance review process is similar for all IRFs, the A/B MAC (A) must have written policies that describe the reasons for using a random sample of medical records to determine an IRF's compliance percentage when the presumptive methodology has shown that the IRF met the compliance threshold.

5. The A/B MAC (A) will inform the RO if an IRF fails to provide information in accordance with the requirements specified above in subsection D2. The RO will notify the IRF that failure to provide the A/B MAC (A) with the information in accordance with the requirements specified above in subsection D2 will result in a determination by the RO that the IRF has not met the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-D.

F. - *By the 15th day of each month, the A/B MAC (A) responsible for determining the compliance percentage for each IRF using either of the methods specified above in §§140.1.3C or 140.1.3D will submit a report to CMS via e-mail. Instructions regarding the format of the report, how to complete the report, and where to send it are specified on the IRF PPS website at: <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/InpatientRehabFacPPS/Criteria.html> .*

G. - *The A/B MAC (A) must verify that the requirements specified above in §140.1.1B-E and §140.1.2 G-K were met.*

H. - *The State Agency will determine whether the criteria specified above in §140.1.1F-K and §140.1.2 Q were met.*