Submitter:

Dr. guozhang cheng

Organization:

sinai hospital of Baltimore

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Guozhang Cheng, MD

Submitter:

Mr. Richard Whalen

Organization:

Mr. Richard Whalen

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Bill Palmquist

Organization:

Mr. Bill Palmquist

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Todd Raquet

Organization:

Mr. Todd Raquet

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Palms Wellington Surgery Center

Category:

Ambulatory Surgical Center

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Ron Raquet

Organization:

Mr. Ron Raquet

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Harry Wong

Organization:

ASA

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

I strongly support CMS 1385-P regarding Revisions in Physician payments.

Submitter:

Mrs. Mary Ellen Schuler

Organization:

Mrs. Mary Ellen Schuler

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work empared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Eduardo Fraifeld

Organization:

Dr. Eduardo Fraifeld

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely Eduardo M Fraifeld, MD

Submitter:

Mr. Jim Woytek

Organization:

Mr. Jim Woytek

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:
Organization:

Dr. Maria Feichtl

Nova Southeastern University

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. 1 am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Harold Miller

Organization:

Dr. Harold Miller

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mrs. Joan Fraifeld

Organization:

Mrs. Joan Fraifeld

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL.

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore; MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Joan Fraifeld

Submitter :

Mrs. Marian Michaels

Organization:

Mrs. Marian Michaels

Category:

Congressional

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. AL Kulikauskas

Organization:

Mr. AL Kulikauskas

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Veena basava

Organization:

West Penn Hospital

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.

Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Mrs. Susan Jones

Organization:

Mrs. Susan Jones

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. veena basava

Organization:

west penn hospital

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

DME Update

DME Update

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.

Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Bridget Solomon

Organization:

Dr. Bridget Solomon

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work empared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Ceressa Ward

Organization:

Dr. Ceressa Ward

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Matt Chapman

Organization:

Mr. Matt Chapman

Category:

Physician Assistant

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Matthew Nobari

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

n: Indiana University School of Medicine-Anesthesia

Category:

Health Care Professional or Association

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

To Whom It May Concern:

I believe that if funds are available to increase payments for anesthesia, then this would be an advantageous step for our health care system. Currently, one reason many physicians decide not to care for Medicare patients is that the payments the physicians receive are inadequate. In turn, this leads to fewer doctors being available for Medicare patients. In order to increase access to all people in the United States, I believe it is important to make payments more adequate for anesthesiologists so as to improve health care access. Thank you for your organization's consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Matt Nobari

Physician candidate, Indiana University School of Medicine

Submitter:

Dr. Lorna Miller

Loudoun Anesthesia Associates

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization: Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Lorna M. Miller, MD

Submitter:

Mr. Robert Palmquist

Organization:

Mr. Robert Palmquist

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Sujin Lee

Organization:

Dr. Sujin Lee

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:
Organization:

Dr. Lisa Velasquez

Date: 07/11/2007

Category:

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Benjamin Kline

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Reading Anesthesia Associates

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Kline, MD.

Submitter:

Mr. Eric Weider

Organization:

Mr. Eric Weider

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Nancy Borja

Organization:

Dr. Nancy Boria

Category:

Pharmacist Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mrs. Mary Matthews

 ${\bf Organization:}$

Mrs. Mary Matthews

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Atlantis Outpatient Center

Category:

Ambulatory Surgical Center

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Ms. Joy Marcus

Organization:

Ms. Joy Marcus

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crueial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Lee Fallon

Organization:

Mr. Lee Fallon

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. 1 am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Reina Carcifi

Organization:

Dr. Reina Carcifi

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Michael Dorsey

Organization:

Dr. Michael Dorsey

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Cary Machado

Organization:

Dr. Cary Machado

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Mr. Normas Tamaka

Organization:

Mr. Normas Tamaka

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this erucial matter.

Submitter:

Organization:

Dr. Goar Alvarez

Nova Southeastern University

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Ms. Stuart Ulrich

Organization:

Ms. Stuart Ulrich

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Mary Ann Ramirez

Organization:

Nova Southeastern University

Category:

Pharmacist

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. John Beauregard

Organization:

Dr. John Beauregard

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Resource-Based PE RVUs

Resource-Based PE RVUs

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

Currently the Medicare payment rate for Anesthesia services is approximately 36% of commercial insurance. This is contrasted with the average of other specialties at approximately 80% of commercial rates. This is contributing to the efflux of some of the best anesthesiologists out of the hospitals and into ambulatory surgery centers where payments are significantly higher due to selection at the for profit centers, of the insured patients. If this continues, the shortage of anesthesiologists in the hospitals will be progressively more severe. Raising the Medicare rate will likely slow the attrition of qualified anesthesiogists out of the hospital where most Medicare patients are treated because they are older and sicker.

Thus, I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

John F. Beauregard MD

Washington, DC

Submitter:

Ms. Kathy Petsos

Organization:

Ms. Kathy Petsos

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

July

Submitter:

Ms. Sandra Carter

Organization:

Ms. Sandra Carter

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Edbril Steven

Organization:

Pinnacle Anesthesia, PL

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Geographic Practice Cost Indices

(GPCIs)

Geographic Practice Cost Indices (GPCIs)

IN ORDER TO BUILD AND MAINTAIN SUSTAINABLE QUALITY AND SERVICES IN A CONTRACTING ANESTHESIA GROUP PRIVATE PRACTICE MODEL DEMOGRAPHIC WHICH FACES EXTINCTION IN FAVOR OF CORPORATE STRUCTURED PROVIDER MODELS, THIS INCREASE IS IMPERATIVE. THERE WILL ALSO BE THE INDIRECT BENEFIT IN FOSTERING --PERHAPS EVEN ASSURING--MARKET COMPETITION WITHIN THE NON-MEDICARE INSURER RANKS THUS PREVENTING THE SIMULTANEOUS CO-CREATION OF SETS OF REGIONAL MEGAPROVIDER GROUPS WHERE THERE USED TO FLOURISH LOCAL TEAM AND GROUP BASED EXCELLENCE AND COMMERCIALLY REASONABLE APPROACHES TO NEGOTIATIONS FOR CONTRACTED RVU RATES

Submitter:

Mrs. Judy Peterson

Organization:

Mrs. Judy Peterson

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I wish to express my utmost support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am very thankful that CMS has realized that anesthesia services have been dramatically undervalued, and that the Agency is now taking steps to address this complex issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, a huge payment disparity was created for anesthesia care. This was mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. This disparity has grown worse over time. Today, more than ten years since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This figure does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with significantly high Medicare populations. The fact that Medicare reimbursement has become so low has led to a spiraling decrease in the number of anesthesiologists relative to the demand for their services. Without some significant relief in reimbursement, there will be an ever-increasing lack of available quality anesthesia services for America's elderly patients.

In an effort to correct this inexcusable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. This would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am most thankful that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To make certain that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this crucial matter.

Date: 07/11/2007

Submitter:

Dr. Tobin McGowen

Organization:

Dr. Tobin McGowen

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I am in favor of the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Tobin McGowen, MD

Submitter:

Dr. Lansheng Wang

Organization:

Medical Anesthesiology Associates

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018
July 11, 2007

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Stephen Wyble

Organization:

Dr. Stephen Wyble

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslic V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Gerald Inahara, M.D.

Organization:

Dr. Gerald Inahara, M.D.

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

http://www.asahq.org/Washington/commentlettertemplate.doc

Submitter:

Robert Lubanski

Organization:

Robert Lubanski

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing again to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. This has happened in nearby communities and is in danger of happening in our town. This is a real and immediate issue for the provision of health care for our seniors.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Robert E. Lubanski, Jr. MD

Submitter:

Dr. Frank E. Hawkins

Organization:

Dr. Frank E. Hawkins

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Coding-Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In rural lowa we see a significant number of Medicare patients, and this is only increasing as our population ages. The proposed changes will make it easier to continue to provide our services to this growing segment of our population. With these proposed changes it will make it easier to retain anesthesiologists to provide services to these patients, who generally are the sickest patients that we provide services to. They deserve the same level of care in the rural area that they receive in the urban areas.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Frank E. Hawkins,

Submitter:

Dr. Phillip Riddle

 ${\bf Organization:}$

Solano Anesthesia

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. Medicare patients are typically the oldest and most critically ill patients anesthesiologists care for. It is difficult to justify the progressively declining reimbursement given to anesthesiologists caring for these patients.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Matt Fisher

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Matt Fisher

Category:

Health Care Professional or Association

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. As a anesthesia resident in training, issues of billing and payment are of concern to me as I look for locations to start providing anesthesiology care. By passing this proposal, CMS ensures that quality perioperative care will be provided and I will not have to limit my choices to practice based on inadequancy of payment.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Matt Fisher

Submitter:

Dr. michael sniderman

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

LUMC Physician

Category: Ph

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Bruce Baird

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

SDA

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Andrew Goodrich

Organization:

brevard Anesthesia Services

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

see attachment

Submitter:

Dr. Mark Grim

Organization:

Dr. Mark Grim

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

See Attachment

CMS-1385-P-1408-Attach-1.DOC

July 11, 2007

Northwest Anesthesia, P. A. 2545 Chicago Avenue South, Suite 311 Minneapolis, MN 55404

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

Caring for our nations citizens who depend on Medicare payment for their medical services is an important part of my practice. As a physician anesthesiologist, I am writing to express my support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the longstanding undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system.

The RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset the large undervaluation of our work—a move that would serve as a major first step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC. Thank you for your consideration.

Mark L. Grim, MD Anesthesiologist

Submitter :

Dr. Reuben Wechsler

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

ASA

Category: Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Richard Henry

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Board of Directors Florida Society Anesthesiology

Category:

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Please adjust medicare rates for physicians and nurse anesthetists to reflect the technical difficulties in treating our medicare patient population. They require greater care and skill as medical problems increase proportionately with age.
Richard Henry, MD

Submitter:

Dr. Denis Jablonka

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Yale New Haven Hospital

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Organization:

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

see attachment

CMS-1385-P-1412-Attach-1.DOC

#14/2

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Paul Johnson

Dr. Paul Johnson

Organization:
Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Hopefully someone has pointed this out to you in the past but in case they haven't I'd like to inform you that Anesthesiologists are currently reimbursed at an hourly rate that is less than an auto repair shop charges for working on your car. Anything you can do to correct this would go a long way towards improving the moral of my fellow health care workers. Thanks Paul Johnson

Submitter:

Organization:

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

See Attatchment

CMS-1385-P-1414-Attach-1.DOC

Page 812 of 857

July 12 2007 08:56 AM

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter : Organization :

Katherine Harding

North Florida Anesthesia Consultants

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Coding-- Additional Codes From

5-Year Review

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

I would like to express my strong support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I fully support the implementation of the RUC's recommendation. I request the CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately increasing the anesthesia conversion factor as recommended by RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Michael Ochs

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:
Category:

Colorado Anesthesia Consultants
Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:

Dr. Olga Lyuksyutova

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

University of Texas Houston Medical School

Category:

Physiciar

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Olga Lyuksyutova, M.D. Department of Anesthesiology University of Texas Medical School-Houston

Submitter:

Dr. Bijo Thomas

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Ohio Valley General Hospital Anesthesiology

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Submitter:
Organization:

Dr. Timothy Cooper

Woods Mill Anesthesia, Inc.

Category:

Health Care Professional or Association

Issue Areas/Comments

Impact

Impact

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Hugo Vazquez

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

ASA

Category: Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

I support this revision of payment CMS-1385-P. I believe it will be a very positive and necessary improvement to the current payment schedules.

Submitter:

Dr. Sanford Schaps

Organization:

Dominion Anesthesia, PLLC

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

See Attachmnet

CMS-1385-P-1421-Attach-1.RTF

Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Office of Strategic Operations & Regulatory Affairs

The attachment cited in this document is not included because of one of the following:

- The submitter made an error when attaching the document. (We note that the commenter must click the yellow "Attach File" button to forward the attachment.)
- The attachment was received but the document attached was improperly formatted or in provided in a format that we are unable to accept. (We are not are not able to receive attachments that have been prepared in excel or zip files).
- The document provided was a password-protected file and CMS was given read-only access.

Please direct any questions or comments regarding this attachment to (800) 743-3951.

Submitter :

Nelson Alverio

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

American Society of Anesthesiology

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Nelson Alverio, MD

Submitter:

Dr. Douglas McAllister

Organization:

Dr. Douglas McAllister

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Christopher May

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

FCAA

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Resource-Based PE RVUs

Resource-Based PE RVUs

I hope this is seriously considered as my practice has had a very difficult time recruiting new partners. i am afraid that the anesthesia field will be filled with underqualified physicians in the future if adequate reimbursement cannot keep the top people coming into the field.

Submitter :

Mr. Dan Harper

Organization:

Mr. Dan Harper

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Dan Harper

Vestavia Hills, AL 35242

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Mrs. Alice Harper

Organization:

Mrs. Alice Harper

Category:

Individual

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL.

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Alice Harper Vestavia Hills, AL 35242

Submitter:

Dr. Trevor Pitsch

Organization:

OHSU Anesthesiology

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Michael Less

Organization:

Dr. Michael Less

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

See Attachment

CMS-1385-P-1428-Attach-1.DOC

July 12 2007 08:56 AM

Date: 07/11/2007

Page 826 of 857

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.

Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Michael A Less, M.D. 15W316 60th St Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Submitter:

Mr. Tony Spatz

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

ASA

Category: H

Health Care Professional or Association

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Tony W. Spatz

Submitter:

Dr. Thomas Delaney

Organization:

Dr. Thomas Delaney

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Alexander Dubelman

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Alexander M. Dubelman, M.D.

Submitter:

Dr. Ravi Dammanna

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Organization:
Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore. MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. Many private Pain management private practitioners in my area have limited the number of Medicare patients they will accept. Some have even stopped accepting medicare patients. As a hospital based Anesthesiologist and Pain Management physician I continue to accept these patients but I fear that I may have to go in the direction of other private practitioners to provide quality services. Thankfully the increase in the conversion factor will serve to be a major step forward.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely, Ravi Dammanna, MD Anesthesiology Pain Management

Submitter:

Dr. Pravin Gandhi

Organization:

CASE Med Grp

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

CMS-1385-P Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am an anesthesiologist practicing in Roseville, California. at Sutter Roseville Medical Center. We have very active Level II trauma Program. A large proportion of patient population that come to our hospital are in the elderly age group)over 70 years) who require Orthopedic reconstructive surgery, Urologic procedures, Cataract extraction and lens implant procedures and neurologic procedures. Anesthesia manpower has reduced over the last few years because of reduced reimbursement in anesthesia services. As a result we find it very difficult to recruit well qualified anesthesiologists at our hospital. I understand many hospitals, including the teaching hospitals are suffering a similar fate.

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Yours sincerely, Pravin Gandhi, M.D. Anesthesiologist/Medical Co-Director Perioperative Services, Sutter Roseville Medical Center. Roseville, Ca. 95661

Submitter:

Dr. vimal lala

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

Advanced Pain Medical Group

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Anesthesiology and interventional pain management procedures are risky and require an extensive amount of training to do. I feel that the reimbursements for these procedures should certainly be increased.

Submitter:

Dr. Jennifer Foley

Emory

Organization: Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Jennifer R. Foley, M.D.

Submitter:
Organization:

Dr. Michael Beaudrie

Eau Claire Anesthesiologist Ltd.

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Michael Lee Beaudrie D.O.

About ASA | Patient Education | Clinical Information | Continuing Education | Annual Meeting | Calendar of Meetings | Office of Governmental and Legal Affairs | Resident and Career Information | Placement Services | Publications and

Submitter: Organization: Dr. Michael Perouansky

University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Page 835 of 857

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter

Submitter:

Dr. Deborah Creath

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Clinton La Grange

Date: 07/11/2007

Organization:

AMGSB

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

1 am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Michael Bishop

Organization:

Dr. Michael Bishop

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Matthew Vo

Date: 07/12/2007

Organization:

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew Vo, M.D.

Submitter:

Dr. Jonathan Feuer

Organization:

Dr. Jonathan Feuer

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Joseph Morgan

Organization:

Dr. Joseph Morgan

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL.

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. We have areas in our state that are under served by anesthesiologists because of he high population of Medicare recipients.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Joseph E. Morgan, M.D.

Submitter:

Dr. Vaucheria Carter-Rubenstein

Organization:

Dr. Vaucheria Carter-Rubenstein

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Re: CMS-1385-P Dear Ms. Norwalk,

I am writing to express my strong support of the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am glad that CMS has recognized the extreme undervaluation of anesthesia services. I have been giving anesthesia 25 years and Medicare payments to me are less than they were 25 years ago. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nations seniors and does not fairly compensate the anesthesia provider for the consultative time, risk, administration of the anesthetic, and post op follow up. Medicare represents 40% of my practice but only 15% of my income. Payment from Medicare doesn't cover it's fair share of my office overhead and malpractice premium. In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset the 32% work undervaluation...a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the longstand undrevaluation of anesthesia services. I am please the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule. I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation. It is imperative for CMS to follow through with the proposal in the Federal Registry by fully implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter of utmost importance to me.

Sincerely,

Vaucheria Carter-Rubenstein MD

Submitter :		Date:	07/12/2007
Organization:			
Category:	Physician		
Issue Areas/Comments			
GENERAL .			
GENERAL			
Leslie V. Norwalk, l	Esq.		
Acting Administrate	or .		
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services			
Attention: CMS-1385-P			
P.O. Box 8018			
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018			
Re: CMS-1385-P			
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)			
Dear Ms. Norwalk:			
I am writing to ever	ass my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesis naumer	nts under the 2008 Physician Fee Sched	ule I am grateful that CMS has
I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.			
	as instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, m		
	ices. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicar er the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustar		
areas with dispropor	tionately high Medicare populations.		
•			
	y this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the eye that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit a		
undervaluation of an	esthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recomme	y 1	
RUC s recommenda	tion.		
To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.			
Thank you for your	consideration of this serious matter.		
, ,			

Submitter:

Dr. August Chang

 ${\bf Organization:}$

Dr. August Chang

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Vadim Tokhner

Organization:

Dr. Vadim Tokhner

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.
Acting Administrator
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Attention: CMS-1385-P
P.O. Box 8018
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Vadim Tokhner, M.D. (Diplomate of American Board of Anesthesiology)

Submitter:

Dr. Leah Baron

Organization:

Burlington Anesthesia Associates

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

See Attachment

CMS-1385-P-1448-Attach-1.DOC

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Dr. Emmanuel Guerrero

Date: 07/12/2007

Organization:

American Society of Anesthesiologists

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter:

Date: 07/12/2007

Organization:

Category:

Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

GENERAL

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq. Acting Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Attention: CMS-1385-P P.O. Box 8018 Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

July