

**Submitter :** Dr. Menachem Weiner  
**Organization :** Dr. Menachem Weiner  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Menachem Weiner M.D.

**Submitter :** Dr. brian connelly  
**Organization :** Bergen Anesthesia Group  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.  
Brian Connelly MD

**Submitter :** Dr. Keren Ziv  
**Organization :** Dr. Keren Ziv  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Michael Goldberg  
**Organization :** Dr. Michael Goldberg  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Bridget Vedder  
**Organization :** Dr. Bridget Vedder  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Jeff Alexander  
**Organization :** Dr. Jeff Alexander  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Todd Armen  
**Organization :** Dr. Todd Armen  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter : Dr. Edmund Ligman

Date: 07/19/2007

Organization : Dr. Edmund Ligman

Category : Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Re:CMS-1385-P

Dear Ms. Norwalk,

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physicians Fee Schedule.I am grateful that CMS has recognized the undervaluation of anesthesia services and that steps are being taken to address this issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity with significant undervaluation for anesthesia services compared to other physician services. In an effort to rectify this,the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32% work undervaluation.I am grateful that CMS has accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule and support full implementation of the RUC recommendation.

It is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase, as recommended by the RUC, to ensure all patients have access to expert anesthesia medical care.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Edmund Ligman M.D.



**Submitter :** Dr. John Hill  
**Organization :** Dr. John Hill  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

John Hill, MD

**Submitter :** Dr. ELVIN LESSENGER

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** LESSENGER CHIROPRACTIC & ACUPUNCTURE

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

For a country that is supposed to be improving their health care programs, eliminating reimbursement for x-rays is definitely a step in the wrong direction! The group of citizens who need x-rays the most, and are the least able to afford it due to limited incomes, are the same group of citizens that will be most effected by this. Instead of helping to make health care more affordable, this would increase the cost to those least able to pay & thus deprive them of needed medical care!!

**Submitter :** Dr. Can Phan  
**Organization :** MD in Private Practice  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

"See Attachment"

CMS-1385-P-3323-Attach-1.TXT

CMS-1385-P-3323-Attach-2.DOC

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Thomas Brodar, D.C.,L.C.P.

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Brodar Chiropractic Office

**Category :** Chiropractor

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Chiropractic Services  
Demonstration**

**Chiropractic Services Demonstration**

There should be a beneficiary reimbursement for a spinal x-ray examination performed by a co-treating M.D. or D.O. when a doctor of Chiropractic thinks that it is in the best interest of the patient to have their spine evaluated for its' strength, stability and integrity prior to receiving a chiropractic spinal adjustment. The inherent nature of an aging spine is to decompose with spinal related decay from degenerative joint disease. Understanding the structural capabilities prior to delivering a manual spinal corrective thrust into the spine of a Medicare beneficiary will greatly increase the value of the therapeutic application and increase the benefit to the patient. Just as there are no restrictions on the amount of MRI's that can be ordered on a medicare beneficiary prior to a surgical intervention, there should be no limits on the amount of knowledge that can be obtained prior to a non-surgical intervention. Utilizing a lower cost imaging modality would be more cost effective than requiring a more expensive MRI imaging study to prepare for a spinal surgical intervention which can only promise limited results if any!  
Dr. Brodar, Medicare Carrier Advisory Committee member in the State of Indiana

**Submitter :** Dr. Gary Zhou  
**Organization :** Dr. Gary Zhou  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Gary Zhou, MD

Submitter : Dr. Basia Jenkins

Date: 07/19/2007

Organization : AMAET

Category : Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.

Acting Administrator

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Attention: CMS-1385-P

P.O. Box 8018

Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am pleased that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is addressing this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services.

I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our senior patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register. Full and immediate implementation of the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC is imperative.

Thank you for your attention to this serious matter.  
Basia Jenkins, MD

**Submitter :** Dr. Adam Johnson  
**Organization :** Old Pueblo Anesthesia  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Adam S Johnson, M.D.



Submitter :

Date: 07/19/2007

Organization :

Category : Physician

Issue Areas/Comments

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

Medicare Economic Index (MEI)

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

CMS-1385-P-3328-Attach-1.DOC

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Rafael Pascual  
**Organization :** Anesthesia Associates of Gainesville,LLC  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC. I would be happy to discuss this with you further either by e-mail or by phone.  
770-532-7179

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Rafael P. Pascual

**Submitter :**

**Date: 07/19/2007**

**Organization :**

**Category : Physician**

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Tom Loehr

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Dr. Tom Loehr

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

I understand the desire to control cost but the reimbursement rate needs to be increased and not decreased. The CPI or the cost of living is increasing and not decreasing. I saddened by the act or tendency to continue decreasing re imbusement. The future will be determined by our actions today. I would like to continue welcoming Medicare patients in the future into my praciice.In other lines of business I do not see the continued reduction of re imbusement just because one does business with a government agency. Business that include engineering firms,computer or other manufacturing firms.

thank you  
tom loehr

**Submitter :** Mr. Warren Cummings  
**Organization :** Fairbanks Fire Department  
**Category :** Local Government

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Ambulance Services**

Ambulance Services

"Beneficiary Signature" Requiring ambulance services to obtain signatures at the time of service for medicare patients is an unreasonable demand. The period EMS providers are with patients is short and frequently hectic. Many times the required NPP forms are not able to be provided to patients with a signature of receipt obtained at the time of service, but at least those can be mailed to patients later without a signature required. Frequently patients are not able to sign documents at the time of transport, and it is impractical to think that ambulance personnel can track them down and obtain a signature later. There needs to be a practical look at the difference between a routine doctors appointment and an emergency ambulance transport via EMS.

**Submitter :** Dr. Michael Gollotto  
**Organization :** Lourdes Anesthesia Associates  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Dr. Michael Gollotto

**Submitter :** Dr. Joe Clark  
**Organization :** Valley Anesthesia, PC  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.



**Submitter :** Ms. Mary Buchanan  
**Organization :** Ms. Mary Buchanan  
**Category :** Individual

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Beneficiary Signature**

Beneficiary Signature

"BENEFICIARY SIGNATURE" To me, this idea is unrealistic and potentially dangerous. When a patient is being treated in an emergency situation, the EMTs need to be focused on patient care, not paperwork. The patient could be bleeding, or unconscious, or in distress: at what point do you stop helping this person to ask them for their signature? This policy is simply impractical in an emergency situation, and should be limited to office visits.

**Submitter :** Dr. Brennan Watkins  
**Organization :** UT- Southwestern  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Best regards,

Brennan Watkins, MD

**Submitter :** Dr. Daniel Requenez  
**Organization :** Daniel Requenez M.D. P.A.  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslic V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Anant Yadav  
**Organization :** Dr. Anant Yadav  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Re: CMS-1385-P, Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

I strongly support the proposed increase in the anesthesia conversion factor, as recommended by the AMA/Specialty Society Relative Value Update Committee (RUC), and urge CMS to accept this recommendation.

By correcting the current undervaluation of anesthesia work, this proposal will ensure that anesthesiologists will be able to continue to provide medical care to our Medicare patients. As such, it is vital that CMS adopt this proposed increase.

Thank you.

**Submitter :** Dr. Edward Walz  
**Organization :** Pediatric Anesthesia Associates of Dayton, Inc.  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,  
Edward J. Walz, MD  
Staff Anesthesiologist  
The Children's Medical Center  
Dayton, OH 45404

**Submitter :** Dr. david beneliyahu

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Dr. david beneliyahu

**Category :** Chiropractor

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Chiropractic Services  
Demonstration**

**Chiropractic Services Demonstration**

Re: Technical corrections

The proposed rule of eliminating the allowance of xrays to be obtained for medicare beneficiaries receiving chiropractic care is unreasonable, and puts the patient at increased health care risks. Xrays in this population of patient is needed to rule out red flags, as well as make definitive diagnoses, and Treatment plans.

Not allowing a chiropractor to obtain xrays when medically indicated in patients complaining of neck or back pain, is analagous to disallowing a cardiologist to obtain an EKG in a patient with chest pain.

I strongly urge you table this proposal !!

Sincerely,

David J BenEliyahu DC

**Submitter :** Dr. Tamera Hixon  
**Organization :** Kansas Anesthesia Services, LLC  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. PAULA MOFFETT  
**Organization :** MEDICAL ANESTHESIA GROUP  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

SEE ATTACHMENT



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES  
OFFICE OF STRATEGIC OPERATIONS & REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Please note: We did not receive the attachment that was cited in this comment. We are not able to receive attachments that have been prepared in excel or zip files. Also, the commenter must click the yellow "Attach File" button to forward the attachment.

Please direct your questions or comments to 1 800 743-3951.

**Submitter :** Mrs. Susan Laser  
**Organization :** Mrs. Susan Laser  
**Category :** Individual

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Daniel Gunn  
**Organization :** Vanderbilt Anesthesia  
**Category :** Critical Access Hospital

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

CMS needs to definitely accept the RUC recommendation. It is imperative for our institution, and this country, to continue to provide quality healthcare in the face of a growing aging population.

**Submitter :** Dr. Antoinette Appling  
**Organization :** Sangamon Associated Anesthesiologist  
**Category :** Physician  
**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

See Attachment

#3345

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES  
OFFICE OF STRATEGIC OPERATIONS & REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Please note: We did not receive the attachment that was cited in this comment. We are not able to receive attachments that have been prepared in excel or zip files. Also, the commenter must click the yellow "Attach File" button to forward the attachment.

Please direct your questions or comments to 1 800 743-3951.

**Submitter :** Dr. Antoinette Appling  
**Organization :** Sangamon Associated Anesthesiologist  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

"See Attachment"

CMS-1385-P-3346-Attach-1.TXT

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Teran Davis

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** ASA

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

CMS-1385-P-3347-Attach-1.DOC



Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. jane easdown  
**Organization :** Vanderbilt  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Dear CMS, RE: CMS-1385P

Please support our efforts and those of the ASA to increase at long last our Medicare Anesthesiology conversion factor. This is long overdue and could not happen to a harder working group of physicians! Thank you for your consideration

Jane Easdown MD

**Submitter :** Dr. Patricia Hoffmann  
**Organization :** Associated anesthesiologists  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Please see attachment regarding CMS 1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (part of five year review)

CMS-1385-P-3349-Attach-1.DOC

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Miss. Monica Murphy  
**Organization :** individual  
**Category :** Individual

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

RE CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (part of 5-year Review)

Please see attachment

CMS-1385-P-3350-Attach-1.TXT

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Glenn Fleischhacker  
**Organization :** North American Partners in Anesthesia  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dcar Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Glenn Fleischhacker

**Submitter :** Dr. John Newton

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Albany Anesthesia

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.  
John Newton MD



**Submitter :** Mr. Jack Murphy

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** individual

**Category :** Individual

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

RE: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of Five Year Review)

See Attachment

CMS-1385-P-3353-Attach-1.DOC

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Harold Minkowitz  
**Organization :** Memorial City Hospital  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslic V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Harold S Minkowitz, MD

**Submitter :** Dr. George Moresea  
**Organization :** American Society of Anesthesiologists  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Coding-- Additional Codes From  
5-Year Review**

Coding-- Additional Codes From 5-Year Review

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing

**CMS-1385-P-3355**

undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Roger Williams  
**Organization :** Dr. Roger Williams  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Payment For Procedures And Services Provided In ASCs**

Payment For Procedures And Services Provided In ASCs

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Roger S. Williams, M.D.

**Submitter :** Dr. Carl Troedsson  
**Organization :** Trident Anesthesia Group  
**Category :** Physician  
**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

I'd like to register a comment concerning anesthesia reimbursement and the proposed increase as addressed in CMS-1385-P. In order to maintain the provision of quality anesthesia care, as well as to maintain the incentive to attract the highest caliber students into the practice of medicine, I strongly urge CMS to consider increasing reimbursement. The practice of anesthesia is continuing to experience increases in the cost of practice in the face of decreasing reimbursement. It is not financially feasible to expect the practice of anesthesia to maintain the quality of care as well as the accessibility of care to medicare and medicaid enrolled patients when it is fast approaching that we will not only no longer be able to expect any profit for our labor, it will soon be costing us to treat these patients if the proposed cuts materialize. Consider that in my practice alone, the cost of CRNA salaries next year alone will increase 9.1%, on top of a proposed 10% cut in reimbursement. And this is only one of the areas of increasing overhead, there's also malpractice coverage, the increasing cost of salaries for our office staff, the increasing cost of equipment and supplies, the increasing cost of leasing office space, etc. This increase in overhead will only partially be absorbed by a hospital stipend, but the profit margin will shrink every year if this trend continues. It is not reasonable to expect a group of board-certified medical professionals who have put their ability to make a living on hold for an average of 14 years in the prime of their lives to be rewarded with an ever-shrinking salary once we finally get into practice. Again consider that most physicians graduating from training have accrued an average of \$150,000+ in educational debt, in addition to needing to be able to support our families, establish educational funds for our children, and secure a retirement for ourselves all in the space of a foreshortened career. It goes without saying that if it starts to cost us to treat Medicare/Medicaid recipients, that their access to care will start to dwindle. I point you to the state of Florida and the increasingly poor access to care for obstetrics and general surgery as an example. And Florida is certainly not alone in this respect, the access to care for MCR/MCD recipients is becoming ever more limited in the Northeast and the west coast as practioners find that they can no longer afford to treat these patients. Of all professionals we already have the highest percentage of charity care, (as opposed to attourneys, etc.), and physicians in general tend to have a heightened sense of altruism. But we can't afford to not make a living at the same time. I can not urge you stongly enough to consider this increase. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Carl Troedsson M.D.

**Submitter :** Dr. Brigitta Moresea  
**Organization :** Dr. Brigitta Moresea  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.



**Submitter :** Dr. Larry Weber  
**Organization :** Oregon Society of Anesthesiology  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Larry Weber M.D.

**Submitter :** Dr. Jeff Jacobs  
**Organization :** Dr. Jeff Jacobs  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

Medicare Economic Index (MEI)

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Vita Pliskow

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Dr. Vita Pliskow

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

See attachment

CMS-1385-P-3361-Attach-1.TXT

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

**Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)**

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation—a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Robert Todd

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** American Society of Anesthesiologists

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**Medicare Economic Index (MEI)**

Medicare Economic Index (MEI)

It is important it increase pay for anesthesia services if our academic centers are to remain viable teaching centers for resident physicians. The additional income would enable academic institutions to hire more quality teachers with subsequent benefit for all future anesthesiologists. We hold individual lives in our hands on a daily basis by providing a valuable service for our patients. Thank you.

Dr. Robert David Todd  
Resident Physician  
Vanderbilt University

**Submitter :** Dr. James Ash  
**Organization :** Ash Chiropractic & Wellness  
**Category :** Chiropractor

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Department of Health and Human Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
PO Box 8018  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244-8018

**Re: TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS**

The proposed rule dated July 12th contained an item under the technical corrections section calling for the current regulation that permits a beneficiary to be reimbursed by Medicare for an X-ray taken by a MD or DO and used by a Doctor of Chiropractic to determine a subluxation, be eliminated. I am writing in strong opposition to this proposal.

While subluxation does not need to be detected by an X-ray, in some cases the patient clinically will require an X-ray to identify a subluxation or to rule out any "rcd flags," or to also determine diagnosis and treatment options. X-rays may also be required to help determine the need for further diagnostic testing, i.e. MRI or for a referral to the appropriate specialist.

By limiting a Doctor of Chiropractic from referring an X-ray the cost to the Medicare patient will go up significantly due to the necessity of a referral to an orthopedist or rheumatologist for evaluation prior to referral to the radiologist as it is now. With fixed incomes and limited resources, Medicare patients may choose to forgo X-rays and thus needed treatment. If treatment is delayed illnesses that could be life threatening may not be discovered. Simply put, it is the patient that will suffer as result of this proposal.

I strongly urge you to table this proposal. These X-rays, if needed, are integral to the overall treatment plan of Medicare patients and, again, it is ultimately the patient that will suffer should this proposal become standing regulation.

Sincerely,

James Ash, D.C.,R.D.

**Submitter :** Dr. Paul Freudman  
**Organization :** Anesthesia Providers  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Submitter : Mr. Aaron Rice  
Organization : Mr. Aaron Rice  
Category : Individual

Date: 07/19/2007

Issue Areas/Comments

**Chiropractic Services  
Demonstration**

Chiropractic Services Demonstration

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Department of Health and Human Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
PO Box 8018  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244-8018

Re: TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

The proposed rule dated July 12th contained an item under the technical corrections section calling for the current regulation that permits a beneficiary to be reimbursed by Medicare for an X-ray taken by a MD or DO and used by a Doctor of Chiropractic to determine a subluxation, be eliminated. I am writing in strong opposition to this proposal.

While subluxation does not need to be detected by an X-ray, in some cases the patient clinically will require an X-ray to identify a subluxation or to rule out any "red flags," or to also determine diagnosis and treatment options. X-rays may also be required to help determine the need for further diagnostic testing, i.e. MRI or for a referral to the appropriate specialist.

By limiting a Doctor of Chiropractic from referring for an X-ray study, the costs for patient care will go up significantly due to the necessity of a referral to another provider (orthopedist or rheumatologist, etc.) for duplicative evaluation prior to referral to the radiologist. As it is now, these duplicative services and expenses are not required. With fixed incomes and limited resources seniors may choose to forgo X-rays and thus needed treatment. If treatment is delayed illnesses that could be life threatening may not be discovered. Simply put, it is the patient that will suffer as result of this proposal.

I strongly urge you to table this proposal. These X-rays, if needed, are integral to the overall treatment plan of Medicare patients and, again, it is ultimately the patient that will suffer should this proposal become standing regulation.

Sincerely,  
Aaron R. Rice

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Department of Health and Human Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
PO Box 8018  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244-8018

Re: TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

The proposed rule dated July 12th contained an item under the technical corrections section calling for the current regulation that permits a beneficiary to be reimbursed by Medicare for an X-ray taken by a MD or DO and used by a Doctor of Chiropractic to determine a subluxation, be eliminated. I am writing in strong opposition to this proposal.

While subluxation does not need to be detected by an X-ray, in some cases the patient clinically will require an X-ray to identify a subluxation or to rule out any "red flags," or to also determine diagnosis and treatment options. X-rays may also be required to help determine the need for further diagnostic testing, i.e. MRI or for a referral to the appropriate specialist.

By limiting a Doctor of Chiropractic from referring for an X-ray study, the costs for patient care will go up significantly due to the necessity of a referral to another provider (orthopedist or rheumatologist, etc.) for duplicative evaluation prior to referral to the radiologist. As it is now, these duplicative services and expenses are not required. With fixed incomes and limited resources seniors may choose to forgo X-rays and thus needed treatment. If treatment is delayed illnesses that could be life threatening may not be discovered. Simply put, it is the patient that will suffer as result of this proposal.

I strongly urge you to table this proposal. These X-rays, if needed, are integral to the overall treatment plan of Medicare patients and, again, it is ultimately the patient that will suffer should this proposal become standing regulation.

Sincerely,  
Aaron R. Rice



**Submitter :** Dr. W.Bradley Worthington  
**Organization :** Neurosurgical Anesthesiologists PLC  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Lcslic V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

Imagine being paid by Medicare to provide a service where the cost of providing the service is less than you are paid!The current 16.19/unit paid by Medicare or roughly 64 dollars per hour of anesthesia care translates into a loss of 7 dollars.In otherwords it costs my group 70 dollars plus in direct overhead expense to my practice to care for a Medicare patient and we are paid 64 dollars/hour of care.For a typical lumbar laminectomy,a 7 unit case,we are paid a unit rate of 113 dollars (7 x 16.19).So are profit is 43 dollars.My plumber,not sure if he is required to care for Medicare patients,charged me 200 dollars recently just to come to my house to fix a leaky pipe.That didn't include his 150 dollar per hour service charge.So after the minimum one hour charge,it took him 15 minutes to fix the pipe,and the 200 dollar service charge I paid 350 dollars.This roughly 300 dollars more than i would be paid to care for the plumbers elderly mother having a lumbar laminectomy.There is something wrong with this picture.

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.I am triple boarded in anesthesiology,critical care medicine,and pain medicine.I feel my expertise in caring for our nations oldest and many times,sickest patients should at least afford me the opportunity to make as much as my plumber.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation s seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC s recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

In closing,please consider this.Our Nations seniors deserve healthcare benefits for anesthesia services that should at least equal the cost of emergency plumbing repairs by providers with more training and direct costs..not to mention risk.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

W.Bradley Worthington,M.D.  
211 lynwood blvd.  
Nashville,Tn.

Alternate Director  
American Society of Anesthesiologists

President  
Neurosurgical Anesthesiologists,PLC

**Submitter :** Dr. Christopher Washtok  
**Organization :** Oregon Anesthesiology Group  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.  
Chris Washtok, MD

**Submitter :** Dr. James Salvatore  
**Organization :** American Society of Anesthesiologists  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

GENERAL

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nationys seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from arcas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. It likely helps perpetuate the ongoing shortage of anesthesiologists in many areas of the US.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluationya move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUCys recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter

**Submitter :** Dr. Cyril Phillip

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Organization :** Dr. Cyril Phillip

**Category :** Physician

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

**Submitter :** Dr. Ross Appleyard  
**Organization :** Dr. Ross Appleyard  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/19/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Rc: CMS-1385-P  
Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

It is about time! I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule.

When the RBRVS was instituted in the early 1990s it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care relative to other medical specialties. My surgical colleagues in the subsequent interim have not only felt the sting of Congress' budgetary policies, but have frankly begun to limit their exposure to Medicare patients as a result of the existing methodology.

Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating a system in which anesthesiologists are retreating from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations. As a part-time locum tenens anesthesiologist in other areas of the country besides my hometown, I can attest to what these policies are inflicting on other, higher Medicare penetrated areas of this country.

Recently, my group here in Denver retained an outside consultant to analyze our practice for many different reasons. One of the lasting facts they shared with us upon completion of their review is that an anesthesia practice with 50% or greater Medicare penetration is a death sentence for the practice. A death sentence!

In an effort to somewhat rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation. Although this would result in a nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit increase, this only begins to correct underlying market forces that are causing many in my specialty to avoid taking care of the sickest and frailest of our population—just the members of our society who require the expertise and training that physician anesthesiologists with the background of the myriad medical problems of our aging population will (and do) require to successfully navigate the perioperative period with the best medical care available.

I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

This epistle is not just to ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care now or next year, but, also as a 52-year-old physician who looks sanguinely to my future medical care with the current system.

It is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.

Ross W. Appleyard, M.D.  
Denver, Colorado

**Submitter :** Dr. Betty Lee-Hoang  
**Organization :** ASA  
**Category :** Physician

**Date:** 07/20/2007

**Issue Areas/Comments**

**GENERAL**

**GENERAL**

Leslie V. Norwalk, Esq.  
Acting Administrator  
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services  
Attention: CMS-1385-P  
P.O. Box 8018  
Baltimore, MD 21244-8018

Re: CMS-1385-P

Anesthesia Coding (Part of 5-Year Review)

Dear Ms. Norwalk:

I am writing to express my strongest support for the proposal to increase anesthesia payments under the 2008 Physician Fee Schedule. I am grateful that CMS has recognized the gross undervaluation of anesthesia services, and that the Agency is taking steps to address this complicated issue.

When the RBRVS was instituted, it created a huge payment disparity for anesthesia care, mostly due to significant undervaluation of anesthesia work compared to other physician services. Today, more than a decade since the RBRVS took effect, Medicare payment for anesthesia services stands at just \$16.19 per unit. This amount does not cover the cost of caring for our nation's seniors, and is creating an unsustainable system in which anesthesiologists are being forced away from areas with disproportionately high Medicare populations.

In an effort to rectify this untenable situation, the RUC recommended that CMS increase the anesthesia conversion factor to offset a calculated 32 percent work undervaluation a move that would result in an increase of nearly \$4.00 per anesthesia unit and serve as a major step forward in correcting the long-standing undervaluation of anesthesia services. I am pleased that the Agency accepted this recommendation in its proposed rule, and I support full implementation of the RUC's recommendation.

To ensure that our patients have access to expert anesthesiology medical care, it is imperative that CMS follow through with the proposal in the Federal Register by fully and immediately implementing the anesthesia conversion factor increase as recommended by the RUC.

Thank you for your consideration of this serious matter.  
Betty Lee-Hoang, M.D.